# THE UNITED STATES OF ANTERIOA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

# Virginin Tech Intellectual Properties, Inc.

MICCOLS, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

#### Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANTISS INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANTISS IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITIORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE ABOVE PURPOSE, OR USING IT IN DUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY EXCEPTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT. COMMON

'38158'

In Testimony Marcel, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Anciety Arctection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this twenty sixth day of November, in the year two thousand two.

0/2m1 1

Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Americalisms Muchatica Service ky f Hyrialtur Zenav U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE

The following statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995.

# APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE (Instructions and information collection burden statement on reverse)

Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).

( and the same and	ı		
1. NAME OF OWNER		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR	3. VARIETY NAME
Virginia Tech Intellectual Properties,	Tnc	EXPERIMENTAL NAME VA96W-158	38158
	1110.	4M30M-130	36136
4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Code, and Country)		5. TELEPHONE (include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
1872 Pratt Drive	١,	E40 0E1 0070	PVPO NUMBER
Suite 1625		540-951-9378	on
Blacksburg, VA 24060	<u> </u>		20020026
2-40-1-10-1-10-1-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10		5. FAX (include area code)	
	١.		
	:	540-951-5292	FILING DATE
7. IF THE OWNER NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON", GIVE FORM OF STATE OF INC. ORGANIZATION (corporation, partnership, association, etc.) STATE OF INC.	ATED, GIVE S	9. DATE OF INCORPORATION	
		T 20 100E	9/16/02
		June 20, 1985	
10. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER REPRESENTATIVE(S) TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION. (F.	irst person listed will recei	ive all papers)	FILING AND EXAMINATION FEES:
Carl A. Griffey			E 204 6
Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences			E \$ 2765.∞
Virginia Tech			1 9/41 -
Blacksburg, VA 24061-0404			CERTIFICATION FEE
			E CERTIFICATION FEE
			E 8 270
			º  ノビリ /
			DATE 8 /20/02
11. TELEPHONE (Include area code) 12. FAX (Include area code) 13. E-8	MAIL	14. CR(	DP KIND (Common Name)
540-231-9789 540-231-3431	Cgriffey@vt		·
310 231 3703 340-231-3431	cgriffeyevi	·eau w	heat, Common
	AMILY NAME (Botanical)	17. IS T	HE VARIETY A FIRST GENERATION RID?
Triticum aestivum T	riticeae	111.5	☐YES 12 NO
18. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Follow instructions on	40. DOE0 THE OWN	UER AREAIR ( TILLY AREA AF TILLA (	<del>-</del>
reverse)	CERTIFIED SEE	NER SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS V ED? See Section 83(a) of the Plant	Variety Protection Act)
a. Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History of the Variety	☐ YES	6 (If "yes", answer items 20 d 21 below)	NO (If "no", go to item 22)
b. Exhibit B. Statement of Distinctness			
c. Exhibit C. Objective Description of Variety  Swhith D. Additional Description of the Veriety (Certain)	20. DOES THE OWN VARIETY BE LIN	NER SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS MITED AS TO NUMBER OF CLASSES?	YES NO
d. X Exhibit D. Additional Description of the Variety (Optional)  e. X Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of the Owner's Ownership	IF YES, WHICH	CLASSES?   FOUNDATION	REGISTERED CERTIFIED
41			
<ol> <li>Voucher Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds or, for tuber propagated varieties, verification that tissue culture will be deposited and maintained in an approved public repository)</li> </ol>	21. DOES THE OWN VARIETY BE LIM	NER SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS MITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATI	ONS? YES NO
<ol> <li>Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,705), made payable to "Treasurer of the United States" (Mail to the Plant Variety Protection Office)</li> </ol>	IF YES, SPECIF	YTHE   FOUNDATION	REGISTERED CERTIFIED
States (Mail to the Plant Vanety Protection Office)	NUMBER 1,2,3,	etc. —	. <u> </u>
	(If additional expl	lanation is necessary, please use the sp	ace indicated on the reverse.)
22. HAS THE VARIETY (INCLUDING ANY HARVESTED MATERIAL) OR A HYBRID PRODUCED FROM THIS VARIETY BEEN SOLD, DISPOSED OF, TRANSFERRED, OR USED IN THE U. S. OR OTHER COUNTRIES?	23. IS THE VARIETY	OR ANY COMPONENT OF THE VAR	ETY PROTECTED BY INTELLECTUAL
<u> </u>	FROFERTING	RI (PDWI BREEDER 3 RIGHT OR PI	TENTY:
YES X NO	☐ YES		⊠ NO
IF YES, YOU MUST PROVIDE THE DATE OF FIRST SALE, DISPOSITION, TRANSFER, OR USE FOR EACH COUNTRY AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES. (Please use space indicated on reverse.)	IF YES, PLEASE REFERENCE NU	GIVE COUNTRY, DATE OF FILING OF MBER. (Please use space indicated or	RISSUANCE AND ASSIGNED reverse.)
24. The owners declare that a viable sample of basic seed of the variety will be furnished with application for a tuber opposited unifold discuss or of the variety will be described in a substruction of the variety will be described in a substruction of the variety will be described in a substruction of the variety will be furnished with application of the variety will be furnished with a possible of the variety will be furnished with a possible of the variety will be described by the variety will be furnished with a possible of the variety will be described by the	and will be replenished ur	non request in accordance with such re-	uulatione se may he anniicable, or
to a tubul propagated variety a usabe culture will be deposited in a public repository and manualized	tor the duration of the cen	uncate.	
The undersigned owner(s) is(are) the owner of this sexually reproduced or tuber propagated plant vari and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.	iety, and believe(s) that th	e variety is new, distinct, uniform, and s	table as required in Section 42,
Owner(s) is(are) informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protection and result in pena			
SIGNATURE OF OWNER	SIGNATURE OF OW	NFR	
ON I MMs IT		· <del> ·</del>	
Thehall Hlash			
NAME (Please print or type)	NAME (Please print o	r type)	
Michael J. Martin			
	01010H		
<del></del>	CAPACITY OR TITLE	:	DATE
Executive Vice President			
&T-470 (07-01) designed by the Plant Variety Protection Office with WordPerfect 9.0. Replaces STD-470 (0	M.M. (10.M)	(See myorse for instructions and	information collection burden statement)

### 18A. Exhibit A: Origin and Breeding History

Genealogy and Breeding Method. Wheat variety 38158, formerly designated VA96W-158, was derived from the cross 'FFR555W'/'Gore'. The cross was made in spring of 1990, and the  $F_1$  generation was grown in the field as a single 4ft headrow in 1991 to produce  $F_2$  seed. The population was advanced from the  $F_2$  to  $F_4$  generation using a modified bulk breeding method.

**Population Advancement and Selection of the Variety**. Wheat spikes were selected from the population in each segregating generation ( $F_2$ – $F_3$ ) on the basis of absence of obvious disease, early maturity, short plant height and desirable head shape and size. Selected spikes were threshed in bulk, and the seed was planted in a 225ft² block in the fall of each year. Spikes selected from the  $F_4$  bulk where threshed individually and planted in separate 4ft headrows. The wheat line VA96W-158 was derived in 1995 as a bulk of one of these  $F_5$  headrows selected for early maturity and resistance to powdery mildew (*Blumeria graminis*). The line was tested as entry 158 in non-replicated observation tests in 1996 and was designated VA96W-158. This line was tested in replicated preliminary tests in 1997, advanced tests in 1998 and in the Virginia Variety Trials in 1999. It also was tested regionally in the Uniform Mason Dixon and Southern Preliminary wheat tests in 1999.

Multiplication and Purification. The initial Breeder seed of variety 38158 was developed via removal of visual variants from a 0.12 acre F<sub>8</sub> purification block. While variety 38158 has remained stable and uniform in composition through the last three generations of self pollination, the initial Breeder seed of variety 38158 contained up to 0.30% taller plants and 0.05% plants with purple stem color at ripening. In the fall of 1999, 290 F<sub>10</sub> headrows of variety 38158 were planted to develop a purer source of Breeder seed. These rows were evaluated for uniformity and trueness of type several times during the growing season. Of the 290 rows, 41 variant rows were removed, and the remaining rows were harvested in bulk to provide a new source of Breeder seed.

#### 18B. Exhibit B: Novelty Statement

Wheat variety 38158 is uniquely different from all known cultivars, but is most similar to its parent FFR555W. Head emergence of variety 38158 is on average 7 days earlier than that of FFR555W. In field tests conducted from 1997 to 2000, variety 38158 headed 9, 5, 8 and 7 days earlier (LSD<sub>0.05</sub> = 1 day) than FFR555W, respectively. Variety 38158 is resistant to powdery mildew, while FFR555W is susceptible. In 1997-2000 field tests, variety 38158 had mildew scores (0-9 scale, where 0=No infection to 9=Complete leaf infection) of 1, 2, 1 and 1, while FFR555W had significantly (LSD<sub>0.05</sub> = 1) higher scores of 4, 4, 5 and 5. Based on seedling tests conducted by USDA-ARS Cereal Disease Lab, St. Paul, MN, variety 38158 has genes Lr11, 18 for resistance to leaf rust (Puccinia triticina), while FFR555W has gene Lr10. Variety 38158 is resistant to races LBBQ (virulence for genes Lr1, 10, 18), KDGL (Lr2a, 2c, 3, 10, 11, 24) and MCGL (Lr1, 3, 10, 11, 26), while FFR555W is susceptible. In contrast variety 38158 is susceptible to race TLGG (Lr1, 2a, 2c, 3, 9, 11, 18), while FFR555W is resistant. Variety 38158 is susceptible to stem rust (Puccinia graminis), while FFR555W is resistant. In seedling tests conducted from 1997-1999 by USDA-ARS, variety 38158 was susceptible to stem rust race TPMK, while FFR555W was resistant.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK AND SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C

## OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

IRSTRUCTIONS: PTT HETEING	IIICUM 3PP.					_
NAME OF APPLICANTIS			FICIAL USE	OHLY		
Virginia Tech Intellectual Properties Inc	•	PVPO NUMBER	002	002	6	4
,		VARIETY NAME		<del></del>	3 8	
1872 Pratt Dr., Suite 1625		DESIGNATION	•			
Blacksburg, VA 24060		38158	•	٠.		
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character Place a zero in first box (e.s. 089 or 09) when number			· · ·			<del>-</del>
I. KIND:						
1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT 5	= POLISH 6 = POUI	LARO 7 = CLUI	) 			_
2 TYPE:	l = soft	3 = OTHER (Specif	υ)			1
2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specify)	1 2 = HARD					
2 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify)						
3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:						
FIRST FLOWERING	LAST	FLOWERING				
4. MATURITY (50% Flowering):			<del></del>			
0 2 NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN	7 I = ARTHUR	2 = scour	3 = CHRIS	7=Pic	nee	r2580
0 1 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	8 A=LEMHI	5 = NUGAINES	6 = LEEC	s 8=FFF	3518	<u>W</u>
5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head):		,				
1 0° 0 см. нібн				·		
1 10 10 CM: A30A			•			
0 3 CM. TALLER THAN	7					
	] = ARTHUR	2 = SCOUT	3 = CHRIS	7=Pic	meei	r2580
0 4 CM. SHORTER THAN	8 4=LEMHI	S = NUGAINES	6 = LEE	os 8=Pa	attor	n
6. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse):	7. ANTHER COLOR:					-
2 T = YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN	1 = YELLOW	2 = PURPLE		-		
s. STEM:				į.		<b>-</b> .
1 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	2 Waxy bloom: 1:	= ABSENT 2	PRESENT	in the second se	-	٠
Hairiness of last internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 laternodes: 1 =	HOLLOW 2 = S	DLID	5.2 5.2		
0 4 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground)	2 2 CM INTER	NODE LENGTH B	ETWEEN	G LEAF		
9. AURICLES:						_
1 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	2 Hairiness: 1=	ABSENT 2 = 1	PRESENT			_
IO, LEAF:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>				_
7 Fing leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED						
Flag leaf at   = ERECT 2 = RECURVED booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):	I Fing leaf: i = t	OT TWISTED 2	* TWISTED			
Hairs of first leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	2 Vary bloom of fi	ag leaf sbeath: 1	- ABSENT	2 = PR	ESENT	τ .
0 9 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First leaf below flag leaf)	2 0 CM. LEAF	LENGTH (First I	al below ling	leal):		•
<del></del>						_

11. HEAD:  3 Density: 1 = LAX	2 = DENSE 3. Mid-dense	Shape: 1 = TAPERING 2 = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE  1
3 Awnedness: 1 = Av	VALESS 2 = APICALLY AWALETED	3 = AWNLETED 4 = AWNED
2 Color at maturity: 5	= WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTH	l = RED ER (Specily):
1 1 CM. LENGTH	•	1 5 MM. WIDTH
13 1	TY: (CA. 7 mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. 8 mm.) (CA. 9 mm.)	Width: 1 = NARROW (CA. J mm.) 2 = MEDIUM (CA. J. 5 mm.)  3 = WIDE (CA. 4 mm.)
17. 1	ING 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED RE 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE	2 Beak: 1 = OBTUSE 2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE
13. COLEOPTILE COLOR	R:	14. SEEDLING ANTHOCYANIN:
1/2   = WHITE 2 = R	ED 3 = PURPLE	1 l = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
15. JUVENILE PLANT GE	OWTH HABIT:	
2 1 = PROSTRATE	2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = ERE	ст
16. SEED:		
1 Shape: 1 = OVATE	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	2 Cheek: I = ROUNDED 2 = ANGULAR
3 Brush. 1 = SHORT	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG	2 Brush: 1 = NOT COLLARED 2 = COLLARED
Phenol reaction		
3 Color: 1 = WHITE	2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE	5 = OTHER (Specify)
0 7 MM. LENGTH	O 4 MM. WIDTH	3 5 GM. PER 1000 SEEDS
17. SEED CREASE:		
2   Width:   = 60% OR L	ESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'	Depth: 1 = 20% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
	ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'	Z = 35% OR LESS OF KERNEL CHRIS
	AS WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'	3 = 50% OR LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI'
	ted, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)	
1 STEM RUST TPMK	LEAF RUST Has genes (Races) <u>Lr11,18</u>	STRIPE RUST 0 LOOSE SHUT
2 POWDERY MILDEW	O BUNT	OTHER (Specify)
<del></del>	d, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)	. <u> </u>
O SAWFLY	2 APHID (Bydv.)	O GREEN BUG 1 CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
1 OTHER (Specify) He		1 GP 0 A 1 B 1 C
В	$lotype L$ RACES: $\left. \left. \left. \right. \right. \right. \right.$	
0 111010	)	
CHARACTER	TY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S	
Plant tillering	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER NAME OF VARIETY
Leaf size		Seed size Seed shape
Leaf color	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Coleoptile elongation
Leaf carriage		Seedling pigmentation

#### INSTRUCTIONS

GENERALE. The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz, 1963, Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States, Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phenol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachments)

#### 18D. Exhibit D: Additional Description of Variety 38158.

Variety 38158 is an early heading, high yielding, medium stature, awnleted, soft red winter wheat with good milling and baking quality. Head emergence is two days earlier than Pioneer 2580 (Tables 1-3). Plant height of variety 38158 (38 inches) is one inch taller than Pioneer 2580 and FFR555W, and two inches shorter than Coker 9663. Straw strength of variety 38158 is moderately good. It is better than that of Coker 9835 or Coker 9663, but weaker than that of Pioneer 2580 and FFR555W. Grain yields of variety 38158 in Virginia have been similar or exceeded those of the best check cultivars and have averaged 81 bu/ac versus 79 bu/ac for Pioneer 2580. Average test weight of variety 38158 has been equal to or higher than that of Pioneer 2580 and FFR555W. Based on limited data (Tables 4, 6) variety 38158 has only moderate winter hardiness and is most similar to Coker 9663. Milling and baking quality (Tables 8, 9) of variety 38158 is better than that of Jackson but slightly lower than that of FFR555W, which has very good quality.

Variety 38158 is resistant to powdery mildew, and moderately resistant to barley yellow dwarf virus and wheat spindle streak mosaic virus (Tables 1-4, 6). It is moderately resistant to moderately susceptible to leaf rust and glume blotch. While variety 38158 exhibited a susceptible reaction to five Hessian fly biotypes in 1999 seedling tests, it was resistant to the natural biotype(s) prevalent in Plains, Georgia under epidemic conditions in 1999 (Table 6). This variety is susceptible to the predominant race of stem rust (TNMK).

Table 1. Summary of performance of VA96W-158 in the Virginia Tech Wheat Test, 1999 harvest.\*

									Barley
		Test	Date		Lodg-	Powdery	Leaf		Yellow
Brand/Variety	Yield	Weight	Headed	Height	ing**	Mildew	Rust	Septoria	Dwarf
	(Bu/A)	(Lb)	(Mar 31+	) (In)	(0.2-10)		<del> (0-</del>	9)*	
	(6)	(6)	(3)	(3)	(2)	(2)	(3)	(2)	(2)
PIONEER 2580	77	57.6	33	37	0.7	2	4	3	2
JACKSON	83	59.3	37	40	2.9	3	4	2	2
<b>COKER 9835</b>	78	57.5	35	35	3.0	2	7	2	2
FFR 555W	. 69	57.7	40	37	0.6	5	5	2	4
VA96-54-326	77	59.3	34	38	1.4	1	3	2	1
VA96W-247	80	58.2	38	35	1.8	1	1	3	3
VA96W-250	84	58.4	36	36	2.6	2	2	2	2
VA96W-158	84	58.3	32	38	1.9	1	4	4	3
VA96W-270	68	57.6	35	38	0.5	1	3	2	1
Average	75	58.1	36	38	1.3	2	3	3	2
LSD (0.05)	4	0.4_	1	11	1.0	11	1	11	1

\*The number in parentheses below column headings indicates the number of locations on which data are based. A plus or minus sign indicates a performance significantly above or below the test average, respectively.

\*\* Belgian Lodging Scale = Area x Intensity x 0.2. Area = 1-10, where 1 is wheat unaffected and 10 is entire plot affected and Intensity = 1-5, where 1 is wheat standing upright and 5 is wheat lying totally flat.

\* The 0-9 ratings indicate relative disease intensity where 0=none and 9=total plant infection.

Table 2. Summary of performance of VA96W-158 in the 1997-98 Virginia Advance Wheat Test.

		Test	Date			D	***************************************
	,	370	387			rowdery	
Line	Yield	Weight	Headed	Height	Lodging	Mildew	Winter Kill
	(B11/4)	Ohe Bu	A 602 21.15	 	2000	7 77	
	( ) ( )	(nor/rear)	(TIC INIA)	(III)	(0.7-10)	(6 <b>-</b> 0)	6-6 9
	(2)	(2)	ව	(5)	(2)	6	`∈
Pioneer 2580	11	53.3	27	37	1.0	-	(1)
Jackson	89	54.7	30	. 10	) c	٠,	o •
-	9		00	٦/	, c		
Coker 9835	57	52.3	30	3.4	0 0	, (	٠.
ECO EFERM	į		;	•	7.7	<b>n</b>	<b>-</b>
rrkooow	9	53.5	31	38	60	7	<b>C</b>
VA96W-158	74	42.3	75	) C		٠,	<b>&gt;</b> '
	•	3	77	20	2.3	7	c.
VA96W-270	74	56.7	27	37	9.0	0	0
•							
SD (0.05)	9	1.0	_	_	٦,		-
Fest Average	69	53.5	29	32	) r	٦,	,-

The number in parentheses indicates the number of locations upon which data are based. The test was conducted at Blacksburg, VA, and Warsaw, VA.

Belgian lodging scale = area x intensity x 0.2. Area is rated on a scale from 1 (plot unaffected) to 10 (entire plot affected).
 Intensity is rated on a scale from 1 (plants standing upright) to 5 (plants lying totally flat).
 All 0-9 ratings indicate relative disease severity: 0 = no disease present; 9 = total infestation of the plant by the disease.
 Overall LSD values for all 45 entries in the test.

The House of the Control of the Cont	96-97 Virginia/North Carolina Freitminary wheat Test.	A MOTO S. DAMINIAL S. DOLLOWING S. C.	
	1996-9		
	rmance of VA96W-158 in the 1996		
-	Table 3 Summany of norfor	Table of Dallingary of Posts	

		Test	Date					Leaf	
Line	Vield	Weight	Headed	Height		WSSMV <sup>3</sup>	$BYDV^4$	Rust	Septoria
	(B <sub>11</sub> /A)	(lbs/Bu)	(Mar 31+)	(in.)		(6-0)	(6-0)	(6-0)	(6-0)
	(4)	4	9	(5)	, (4)	(1)	(2)	(5)	(2)
Pioneer 2580	84	59.1	45	36	1	5	3	E.	5
Jackson	83	60.1	48	38	7	т	7	4	7
Coker 9835	11	58.2	48	33	2	7	m	7	m
FFR555W	75	58.6	50	36	4	7	ო	4	m
VA96W-247	87	59.7	46	36	0	7	m	m	7
VA96W-250	96	60.1	43	35	0	7	<b></b>	4	7
VA96W-158	86	59.1	41	39	_		m	7	ო
VA96W-270	78	8.65	43	38	0	0	7	4	m
LSD (0.05) <sup>5</sup>	9	0.4	_	<b>~</b>		7			1
Test Average	77	59.0	46	36	1	2	3	3	3
The mimber in	narenthece is	ndicates the mir	nher of locations	amon which t	The unmhar in parentheses indicates the number of locations mon which the data are based. The test was conducted at Blacksburg, Warsaw, and	The test was	conducted at	Blacksburg,	Warsaw, and

The number in parentheses indicates the number of locations upon which the data are based. The test was conducted at 1 Painter, VA, and Kinston and Plymouth, NC.

All 0-9 ratings indicate relative disease severity: 0 = no disease present; 9 = total infestation of the plant by the disease.

Wheat spindle streak mosaic virus.

<sup>4</sup> Barley yellow dwarf virus.
<sup>5</sup> Overall LSD values for all 96 entries in the test.

	۱
est.	
Vheat T	
V noxiC	
Vason-	
Jniform 1	
of VA96W-158 in the 1998-99 Uniform	
in the 1	
W-158	
VA96V	
rmance	
of perf	
Summary of perfe	
Table 4	

		Test	Date			Powdery			Leaf	
Line	Yield	Weight	Headed	Height	Lodging	Mildew	Winter Kill	BYDV	Rust	Septoria
	(Bu/A)	(lbs./Bu)	(Mar 31+)	(jn.)	$(0.2-10)^2$	(0-6)	(6-0)	(6-0)	(6 <del>-</del> 0)	(6-0)
	(2)	(2)	(2)	3	(2)	(2)	(1)	(2)	Ξ	(1)
Pioneer 2580	87	59.2	33	36	0.2	0	೮	7	73	7
Coker 9663	82	8.09	33	40	5.0	1	en	_	0	7
Agripro Foster	78	59.8	37	37	0.2	7	7	ю	m	ო
Roane	89	62.3	38	35	3.0	0	0	7	_	
VA96-54-326	89	61.0	32	38	5.0	0	9	_	7	7
VA96W-247	102	60.3	35	35	0.2	0	0	7	7	7
VA96W-158	94	59.8	30	37	0.2	0	4	7	m	7
VA96W-270	79	29.7	33	37	0.2	0	0	က	7	m
LSD (0.05) <sup>5</sup>	7	0.7	-	-	9.0	0.7		1	-	1
Test Average <sup>6</sup>	80	60.1	34	36	0.5	1	2	2	,	2
The number in neventheces indicates the number of	naranthacac in	disotee the min	wher of locations	the daily a day	ata are hased	Florations man which data are based. This table reflects results only from the Blackshurp. VA and Warsaw	s results only fro	m the Blacksh	ure VA	and Warsaw

The number in parentheses indicates the number of locations upon which data are based. This table reflects results only from the Blacksburg, VA, and Warsaw, VA, research sites. The test was also conducted in Maryland, Kentucky, and North Carolina.

Belgian lodging scale = area x intensity x 0.2. Area is rated on a scale from 1 (plot unaffected) to 10 (entire plot affected). Intensity is rated on a scale from 5 plants standing upright) to 5 (plants lying totally flat).

All 0-9 ratings indicate relative disease severity: 0 = no disease present; 9 = total infestation of the plant by the disease.

Barley yellow dwarf virus.

Overall LSD values for all 84 entries in the test.

<sup>6</sup> Test average for Virginia locations only.

Table 5. Grain yields of VA96W-158 tested at seven locations in four states in the 1998-99 Uniform Mason-Dixon Wheat Test.

		Logan						Overall
Line	Lexington, KY	County, KY	Wye, MD	Beltsville, MD	Plymouth, NC	Blacksburg, VA	Warsaw, VA	(7 locations)
				Yie	Yield (Bu/A)			
Pioneer 2580	51	95	74	79	50	66	75	76
Coker 9663	50	26	89	72	62	76	99	72
Foster	45	102	29	70	43	91	65	69
Roane	59	77	73	73	20	103	75	72
VA96-54-326	49	92	80	74	58	95	82	7.2
VA96W-247	44	66	79	80	46	117	98	79
VA96W-158	51	102	87	73	51	103	85	79
VA96W-270	46	06	89	70	47	86	7.2	89
LSD (0.05) <sup>1</sup>	10	16	∞	10	œ	7	*****	4
Test Avg.	48	98	89	69	49	06	70	69
Overall LSD v	Overall LSD values for all 84 entries in the test	s in the test						

st1	
Te	
Ħ	
ĕ	
$\mathbb{R}$	
>	
ar	
냺	
ᆵ	
re	
7	
E	
뜌	
ਰ	
S	
9	
∞	
9	
- O	
ŧ	
₽.	
00	
÷	
≶	
8	
A	
>	
of	
9	
ŭ	
Ë	
ö	
ert	
مَ	
ō	
<u>}</u>	•
<u> 1</u> 2	
Ξ	
Sur	
<b>-</b> .	
9	
5	
Ta	

		Test	Head			Ромдету				Hessian	
Line	Yield	Weight	(March	Height	Lodging	Mildew	Leaf Rust	Septoria	BYDV <sup>5</sup>	Fly	Winter Kill
	(Bu/A)	(lbs/Bu)	31+)	(in.)	$(0.2-10)^3$	(0-0)	(6-0)	(6-0)	(6-0)	(R-VS)	(6-0)
>	(7)	<u>(</u>	(9)	(5)	(5)	4	4	(1)	(2)	$\Xi$	(2)
Pioneer 2643	64	58.3	6	28	0.2	-	4	7		Ω	2
Coker 9663	70	58.0	4	36	2.8	m	-	7	<del></del>	ď	2
Coker 9835	65	56.3	ν,	31	1.5	m	4	~	7	~	4
VA96W-250	<b>5</b> 96	58.1 <sup>6</sup>	127	59	0.57	_	'n	No data	7	ΥS	0
VA96W-158	89	57.2	∞	34	0.8	7	4	7	1	×	2
VA96W-270	81	58.9	9	34	0.3	_	m	ю	<b></b>	<b>~</b>	0
Test Average	61	57.4	<b>∞</b>	33	1.8	7	73	8	7		7
1			1	7			- 7	:		-	

Data are reported as means over all seven locations. Louisiana, Georgia, Florida, North Carolina, Virginia, South Carolina, and

Arkansas.

<sup>2</sup> The number in parentheses indicates the number of locations upon which data are based.

<sup>3</sup> Belgian lodging scale = area x intensity x 0.2. Area is rated on a scale from 1 (plot unaffected) to 10 (entire plot affected). Intensity s rated on a scale from 1 (plants standing upright) to 5 (plants lying totally flat).

All 0-9 ratings indicate relative disease severity: 0 = no disease present; 9 = total infestation of the plant by the disease.

Barley yellow dwarf virus.

Datum is based on only five locations.

<sup>7</sup> Datum is based on only four locations.

Control of the Contro

Table 7. Grain	Table 7. Grain yields of VA96W-158 tested in seven	58 tested in seven s	tates in the 1998.	-99 Southern Preli	states in the 1998-99 Southern Preliminary Wheat Test.			
Line	Louisiana	Georgia	Florida	North Carolina	Virginia	South Carolina	Arkansas	Overall (7
				Yie	Yield (Bu/A)			locations)
Pioneer 2643	99	50	4	69	85	57	77	64
Coker 9663	73	99	56	65	99	78	88	70
Coker 9835	59	94	56	45	79	55	99	65
VA96W-250	No data <sup>2</sup>	No data <sup>2</sup>	112	81	79	44	82	59
VA96W-158	51	83	39	75	90	63	2/2	89
VA96W-270	81	97	57	83	79	58	98	81
LSD (0.05) <sup>1</sup>	13.9	NA	10.6	12.6	10.3	12	16.7	Z
Test Avg.	9	89	41	63	89	58	71	61
Overall LSD	Overall LSD values for all 65 entries in the test.	ies in the test.						

Table 8. Milling and baking quality of VA96W-158 wheat: 1998 crop

Entry	Milling		Baking		Micro	Soft	Flour	Flour	Micro	Cookie
	quality		quality		T.W.	equiv.	yield	prot.	<b>AWRC</b>	diam.
	score		score		Lb/Bu		%	%	%	E C
Massey (standard)	100.0	4	100.0	⋖	59.5	59.5	72.5	9.1	56.3	17.7
FFR 555W	102.9	⋖	105.7	⋖	58.2*	57.6	73.6	8.7	54.8	18.1
Pion 2580	90.4	ပ	94.3	ပ	58.3*	59.0	70.2**	8.0	56.7	17.5
Jackson	90.5	ပ	79.1	u.	0.09	59.5	69.9**	9.4	60.2**	17.1**
Coker 9835	92.9	ပ	94.3	ပ	57.9*	64.5	70.0**	89.3	61.1*	17.9
VA96W-158	98.2	മ	96.1	മ	58.0*	58.5	72.3	8.7	56.2	17.5
VA96W-270	95.8	Ш	97.9	8	61.5	53.4*	72.1	9.2	55.2	17.7

\*Score is one standard deviation away from the standard cultivar's score. \*\*Score is two standard deviations away from the standard cutlivar's score.

Table 9. Milling and baking quality of VA96W-158 wheat: 1997 crop

Entry	Milling		Baking		Micro	Soft	Flour	Flour	Micro	Cookie
	quality		quality		Τ.Ψ.	equiv.	yield	prof.	AWRC	diam.
	score		score		Lb/Bu		%	%	%	cu
Massey (standard)	100.0	A	100.0	⋖	62.3	52.2	70.3	8.5	57.3	17.6
FFR 555W	104.2	∢	98.3	മ	61.7	51.4	71.8	8.2	56.3	17.4
Pion 2580	86.9	Ω	71.3	ட	61.6	48.5*	67.8**	7.5	61.3**	16.9**
Jackson	9.66	Ф	86.7	۵	63.3	54.0	69.8	8.0	59.1*	17.1**
CK 9835	104.3	⋖	98.1	മ	62.2	59.5	70.5	7.1	£1 *	18.1
VA96W-158	102.1	4	96.6	മ	62.0	51.3	71.0	7.5	57.2	17.4
VA96W-247	93.9	ပ	81.7	ш	62,9	50.2	<b>69</b> .1*	7.5	61.8**	17.3
VA96W-250	96.4	<u>m</u>	84.9	Ω	62.6	49.3*	66.69	6.9	61.1**	17.5
VA96W-270	95.0	ပ	88.2	Ω	63.0	47.1*	66.69	8.5	58.3	17.4

\*Score is one standard deviation away from the standard cultivar's score.

\*\*Score is two standard deviations away from the standard cutlivar's score.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE	The following statements are made in 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paparwo	n accordance with the Privacy Act of rk Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995.
EXHIBIT E STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF OWNERSHIP	Application is required in order to deceifficate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2 until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426	etermine if a plant variety protection (421). Information is held confidential (1).
1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)  Virginia Tech Intellectual Properties Inc.	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NUMBER	3. VARIETY NAME
	VA96W-158	38158
4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP, and Country)	5. TELEPHONE (include area code)	6. FAX (include area code)
1872 Pratt Dr., Suite 1625 Blacksburg, VA 24060	540-951-9374 7. PVPO NUMBER	540-951-5292
		200200261
8. Does the applicant own all rights to the variety? Mark an "X" in approp	riate block. If no, please explain.	YES NO
Is the applicant (individual or company) a U.S. national or U.S. based of the no, give name of country		X YES NO
10. Is the applicant the original owner?	(O If no, please answer one of the t	ollowing:
a. If original rights to variety were owned by individual(s), is (are) the o	riginal owner(s) a U.S. national(s)?	
YES U	NO If no, give name of country	
b. If original rights to variety were owned by a company(ies), is(are) the	e original owner(s) a U.S. based compan	y?
YES NO If no, give name of country		
11. Additional explanation on ownership (if needed, use reverse for extra space):		
Original owner Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University assigned its ownership to current owner Virginia Tech Intellectual Properties INc. (see attached)		
PLEASE NOTE:	·	
Plant variety protection can be afforded only to owners (not licensees) who meet	one of the following criteria:	4.3 3
Plant variety protection can be afforded only to owners (not tochsees) who meet one of the following critical.  1. If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that person must be a U.S. national of a UPOV member country, or national of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.		
2. If the rights to the variety are owned by the company which employed the original breeder(s), the company must be U.S. based, owned by nationals of a UPOV member country, or owned by nationals of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.		
3. If the applicant is an owner who is not the original owner, both the original ov		
The original breeder/owner may be the individual or company who directed final		
According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a co this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to compete this information coll searching aciding data sources, gastering and maintaining the data needed, and completing as	d reviewing the collection of information.	
<ul> <li>The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in its programs on the basis.</li> <li>(Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require atternat USDA's TARGET Canter at 202-720-2000 (voice and TOD).</li> </ul>	s of mos, color, national origin, sax, religion, age, disa ive means for communication of program information	
To file a complaint, write the Secretary of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Wa employment apportunity employer.	shington, D.C. 20250, or cell 1-800-245-6340 (vo	ce) or (202) 720-1127 (1700). USDA is an equal

STD 470-E (07-97) (Destroy previous editions).
Electronic version designed using WordPerfect InForms by USDA-AMS-IMB.