

9300307

THE UNITHED SHAVES OF AMIERICA

Seed Research of Oregon, Inc.

Tahereas. There has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT RIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT AT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

FESCUE

'SR 3100'

In Lestimony Winercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C.

this 29th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-five.

Attest.

Marsha A. Stunden

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service angelistenan

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 2025p; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #581-0055), Washington, 20250.

of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0	0055), Washington, 20250.	FORM /	APPROVED: ON	MB 0581-0055, Expires 1/31/91
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIE	KETING SERVICE	N CERTIFICATE		Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until
(Instructions of				certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)	TION OR	3. VARIETY NAME		
Seed Research of Oregon, Inc.	SRX 89-31		SR 3100	
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5 PHONE (Include area c	ode)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P.O. Box 1416		(503) 757-266	33	PVPO NUMBER
Corvallis, OR 97339				9300307
			3 33	
				1 Sont 23 1903
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Botan	ical)		Time
Festuca longifolia	Gramineae			G 10:45 Ø A.M. □ P.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)	9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION		F Filing and Examination Fee:
Hard Fescue		Sept. 1990		E s 2,325.00
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORC				R Sept. 21, 1993
Corporation				E C Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	I 12 D	ATE OF INCORPORATION		E :300.00
Oregon		8-1-87		V Date
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY.	TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICAT		00	5 November 27, 1995
Corvallis, OR 97339 14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (F a. X Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety. d. X Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety. e. X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Owner 1. X Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Se g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,500 made payable to 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE Protection Act.)	rship. ed Sample mailed to Plant "Treasurer of the United S SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ON	Variety Protection Office _ States." LY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED	9-17-	93.
YES (If "YES." answer items 16 and 17 16 DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED A		NO," skip to item 18 below)		
NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?.	AS TO 17. IF "YES"	TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES	OF PRODUCT	TION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
X YES NO	X FO	UNDATION [X REGISTER	RED X CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE YES (II "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act NO 19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OF YES (II "YES," give names of countries and dates) U.S.	Patent Act. Give d	OTHER COUNTRIES?		
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicant.	october 10,	1/95	application	and will be replenished upon
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of the uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is enti Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation h	nis sexually reproduced tled to protection under	the provisions of section	42 of the Pl	s) that the variety is distinct, ant Variety Protection Act.
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPACITY OF	TITLE		DATE
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT [OWNER(S)]	Resec	arch Direct	for	9-16-93 DATE

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: To be effectively filed with the Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO), <u>ALL</u> of the following items must be received in the PVPO: (1) Completed application form signed by the owner; (2) completed Exhibits A,B,C,E; (3) at least 2,500 viable untreated seeds; (4) check, drawn on a U.S. bank, payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$2,150 (\$250 filing fee and \$1,900 examination fee). (See section 180.175 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Partial applications will be held in the PVPO for 30 days, then returned to the applicant as unfiled. Mail application and other requirements to: Plant Variety Protection Office, AMS, USDA, Rm. 500, NAL Building, 10301 Baltimore Blvd., Beltsville, MD 20705-2351. Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the Application are self-explanatory unless noted below. Corrections on the Application form and Exhibits must be initialed and dated. DO NOT use masking materials to make corrections. If a Certificate is allowed, you will be requested to send a check payable to "Treasurer of the United States" in the amount of \$250 for issuance of the Certificate.

Plant Variety Protection Office Telephone: 301/344-2518

ITEM

- 9. Give the date when there has been at least a tentative determination that the variety has been sexually reproduced with recognized characteristics, whether or not the novelty of those characteristics has been determined. [See section 41(d) of the Plant Variety Protection Act (Act).]
- 14a. Give: (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method; (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication; (3) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified and (4) evidence of uniformity and stability. (See sections 41 and 52 of the Act.)
- 14b. Give a summary statement of the variety's novelty. Clearly state how this novel variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety most closely resembles one or a group of related varieties: (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively; (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs of seed and plant comparisons which clearly indicate novelty.
- 14c. Exhibit C forms are available from the PVPO; specify crop kind. Fill in the Exhibit C (Objective Description of Variety form) to describe your variety.
- 14d. Optional additional characteristics and/or photographs: Describe any additional characteristics that cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- 14e. Section 52(4) of the Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. The applicant may be the actual breeder, the employer of the breeder, the owner through purchase or inheritance, etc.
- 15. If "Yes" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed), the applicant may NOT reverse this affirmative decision after the variety has either been sold and so labeled, the decision published, or the certificate issued. However, if "No" has been specified the applicant may change the choice. (See section 180.16 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)
- 19. See sections 41 (i, j) and 42 of the Act and section 180.7 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice for eligibility requirements.

NOTES:

It is the responsibility of the applicant/owner to keep the PVPO informed of any change of address or change of ownership or assignment during the life of the application/certificate. There is no charge for filing a change of address. The fee for filing a change of ownership or assignment is \$25. [See section 101 of the Act, and sections. 180.130, 180.131, 180.132, and 180.175(h) of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.]

To avoid conflict with other variety names in use, the applicant should check the variety names proposed by contacting: Seed Branch, AMS, USDA, Rm. 213, Building 306, Beltsville Agricultural Research Center -- East, Beltsville, MD 20705. Telephone: 301/344-2089.

EXHIBIT A. ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY OF SR 3100 HARD FESCUE

SR 3100 hard fescue is derived from 100 plants in the 1500 plant initial breeder block of SR 3000 hard fescue established near Albany, OR that were dwarfer and darker green than the remainder of the population. The original material used to develop SR 3000 was the result of a long term program initiated in 1968 at the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station to improve hard fescues. Cycles of selection were performed to improve general appearance, powdery mildew resistance, and heat and drought tolerance, along with other characteristics. Five single plant progenies, HFD-1, HFD-26, HFD-52, HFD-62 and HFD-31, that showed superior performance and high endophyte levels, were utilized in 1977 to produce 360 plants from tillers. These produced seed in 1978 and 1979 that underwent a second cycle of seedling screening for powdery mildew resistance. Resistant seedlings from this cycle were transferred to an isolated spaced plant nursery at Adelphia, NJ for seed production. Seed from this nursery was sent to Albany, OR to establish the original 1500 plant nursery from which the 100 plants used to develop SR 3100 were removed. The 100 plants were allowed to intercross in isolation and the seed used to establish a breeder block of 720 plants in 1987 at Corvallis, OR. In 1989 this block underwent selection for diseases of production, including leaf spot and Puccinia crandalii, uniform maturity and the dwarf, dark green growth habit. After selection approximately 400 plants remained and these were utilized to produce seed in 1989 that was entered in the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program 1989 Fine Fescue Test as SRX 89-31. An examination of the levels of the endophyte, Acremonium sp., in this seed showed only approximately 45% infection level. It was unknown if the reduction in endophyte infection level was due to presence of non-infected plants at the beginning of the selection process, failure to transmit the endophyte from some parental plants to offspring during successive generations, or storage of seeds under undesirable conditions during initial increases. In 1991 all individual plants remaining in the breeder block were examined for the presence of the endophyte. Additional plants had been removed from the block in 1990 and 1991 due to reduced seed production. As a result 297 plants remained in the block and the seed was harvested separately from the 161 E and 136 NE plants in 1991. The seed from the endophyte infected plants was utilized to establish a foundation field in August, 1991. Although some modification has occurred since the variety was entered in NTEP trials subsequent trials have shown comparable performance. In NTEP trial sites where differences have been observed between E+ and E- varieties SRX 89-31 (SR 3100) has performed like an E+ variety.

SR 3100 is a stable and uniform variety. No significant offtypes or variants have been observed in the reproduction or multiplication of the variety. SR 3100 has produced turf of good quality and uniformity.

9300307

EXHIBIT B.

Novelty statement for 'SR 3100' Hard Fescue

SR 3100 hard fescue most closely resembles SR 3000, which constitutes the population from which it was selected, and Aurora hard fescue. It can be distinguished from these varieties by a combination of the following characteristics.

SR 3100 can be distinguished from both SR 3000 and Aurora by

- 1. It has a significantly later anthesis date (Tables 1, 4 and 21)
- 2. It has a significantly shorter flag leaf height (Tables 4, 7 and 21)
- 3. It has a significantly darker green color (Tables 6, 1 and 26)
- 4. It has a significantly shorter canopy height (Table 12)
- 5. It has improved red thread resistance (Tables 13, 14 and 27)
- 6. It has improved pink patch resistance (Table 28)

SR 3100 can be distinguished from SR 3000 by

- 1. It has a significantly shorter plant height (Tables 1, 4, 7 and 21)
- 2. It has a significantly smaller panicle (Tables 2, 5, 9 and 23)
- 3. It has a significantly smaller flag leaf (Tables 4, 8 and 22)
- 4. It has improved leaf spot resistance (Table 29)

SR 3100 can be distinguished from Aurora by

- 1. It has a longer awn (Tables 3 and 24)
- 2. It has leaf sheaths with more anthocyanin (Tables 6 and 25)
- 3. It has improved dollar spot resistance (Table 30)
- 4. It has more branch pubescence on the panicle (Tables 9 and 23)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF CULTIVARS FINE LEAVED FESCUES (Festuca spp.)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S) Seed Research of Oregon, Inc. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City State, and ZIP Code)	I VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION SR 3100 I FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.E.D. No. City State and ZID Code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ADDITION (Officer and No., of A.F.D. No., City State, and ZIP Code)	
P.O. Box 1416	PVPO NUMBER
Corvallis, OR 97339	9300307
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of th box (e.g. $0/8/9/$ or $0/9/$) when number is either 99 or less represent those that are typical for the variety. Ranges may be given also Give additional description for all characteristics that cannot be adequated comparative trial and evaluation data. The symbol " Δ " indicates decimal.	or 9 or less. Descriptions of characters should so. Measured data must be for SPACED PLANTS. tely described in the form below. Append all pertinent
All measurements must be on spaced plants with a minimum of (30 cm) to points must be used for all measurements. Plants must be established a measurements. Trials should be irrigated if necessary. Cultural condition number / data points shown in all tables. Specify growing conditions and	between plants. A minimum of 30 plants and 60 data no later than the previous fall for spring and summer ons must be stated in comment section and plant
1. SPECIES	
5 / 1 = F. rubra ssp. commutata (Chewings) 2 =	Festuca rubra ssp. litoralis (Slender Creeping Red)
3 = F. rubra ssp. rubra (Strong Creeping Red) 4 =	F. ovina (Sheep)
5 = F. longifolia (Hard) 6 =	F. tenuifolia (Fine-Leaved Sheep)
7 = OTHER (Specify)	
2. PLOIDY	
/ 1 = DIPLOID 2 = TETRAPLOID	3= HEXAPLOID 4 = OCTOPLOID
// CHROMOSOME NUMBER	
3. ADAPTATION (0 = NOT TESTED 1 = NOT ADAPT	ED 2= ADAPTED)
2 / NORTHEAST 0 / SOUTHEAST 2 / NORTH	HCENTRAL 2 / PACIFIC N.W.
2/ OTHER (Specify) _ Transition Zone	

STANDARD CULTIVARS - Choose cultivars from same species and ploidy level. F. rubra ssp. F. ovina F. longifolia F. tenuifolia commutata litoralis rubra 51 = AURORA 61 = SIMA11 = SHADOW 21= DAWSON 31 = BOREAL 41= BIGHORN 52 = BILJART 62 = BAROK 12 = JAMESTOWN 22 = MERLIN 32= SHADEMASTER 42 = MX 86 53 = SEALDIS 13 =BANNER 23 = BARCROWN 33= FLYER 14 =KOKET 34= ENSYLVA 54 = SR 300055 = RELIANT (Specify Species) MATURITY 5 / MATURITY CLASS (1-9) 1 = Very Early () 2 = Early (Bighorn) 3 = Medium Early (Aurora, SR 3000) 4 = (Koket)5 = Medium (Flyer, Shadow) 6 = (Shademaster, Dawson) 7 = Late (Jamestown, Banner, Claudia) 8 = (Barcrown) HEADING DATE (When 50% of plants in the variety have at least 3 spikes emerged from boot.) (1993 Data) 1/1/6/ CALENDAR DAY. DAYS EARLIER THAN __/__/ Comparison Variety. 0 /3 / ANTHESIS DATE (When 50% of plants in the variety have started anthesis.) 1 /4 /9 / CALENDAR DAY. __/__/ DAYS EARLIER THAN ___/__/ Comparison Variety. 0 /3 / 0 5 Days later than PLANT HEIGHT (Post - Anthesis) Middle tiller. Not to include tallest 3 heads. MATURE HEIGHT (Ground to top of panicle - straightened.) 17 16 1 Shorter than Comparison Variety.

5

	FLAG LEAF H	EIGHT (Ground to collar of flag leaf.)		
	1/744/	cm. HIGH		
	/_4	SHORTER THAN		5 / 4 / 5 1 Comparison Variety.
		TALLER THAN		
6.	GROWTH HAE	BIT (Mature - Fully headed reproductive	illers)	
	<u>2</u> / 1 = EF	RECT (Nezpurs) 3 = (Longfellow) 5 = SI		(Aurora, Shadow) 9 = PROSTRATE (Aurora, Cindy)
7.	RHIZOMES			
		mm. LENGTH (1 year spread)		
		mm. WDTH		
		mm. INTERNODE LENGTH		
	_1/	1 = ABSENT (Koket, Shadow, Jamestow 3 = WEAKLY CREEPING (Dawson) 5 = STRONGLY CREEPING (Ensylva, C 7 = VERY STRONGLY CREEPING (Flye 9 =	audia, Boreal)	ester)
3.	LEAF CHAR	ACTERISTICS		
	TILLER LEAF	(First leaf subtending flagleaf - after ar	nthesis.) - Preferred	Leaf. (1992 Data)
	/_61/	cm. LENGTH (ligule to tip)	0 4 7 / 4 /	mm. WDTH (at 1 cm from collar)
	/_05/	cm. SHORTER THAN 5 /4 /	0 1 1 / 6 /	mm. NARROWER THAN 5 _ /4 _ /
		SAME AS//		SAME AS//
		cm. LONGER THAN//	0 11 /5 /	mm. WDER THAN
	FLAG LEAF			
	/_4_9_/	cm. LENGTH (ligule to tip)	0 4 6 1 7 1	mm. WIDTH (at 1 cm from collar)
	/_018_/	cm. SHORTER THAN <u>5</u> / <u>4</u> /	0 1 1 4 /	mm. NARROWER THAN5 /4_/
		SAME AS		SAME AS/ _/
	/_0_1_/	cm. LONGER THAN <u>5</u> / <u>1</u> /	0 40 /5 /	mm. WIDER THAN
	VOIA			

LEAF BLADE	- Percent plants with :				
GLAUCOSITY	(Sowing year)		ABSENT	//	PRESENT
ANTHOCYANI	N :		ABSENT	1 0 / 0 /	PRESENT
HAIRS (Basal) :		ABSENT	1 0 / 0 /	PRESENT
MARGINS Ro	oughness :		ABSENT	1 0 10 1	PRESENT
MARGIN FOLE	DING :	1 0 / 0 /	ROLLED INWAR (Closed-Koket, Aurora, Barcros SR3000	wn,	FLAT (Open-Jamestown, Ensylva, Dawson, Shadow)
LEAF SHEAT	TH - Percent plants with	1			
ANTHOCYANII	N (Seedling 3 - 8 tillers): _2/	7/ ABSENT	7 /3	PRESENT
AURICLE HAIF	RINESS	:/	0 / ABSENT	1 0 10	PRESENT
HAIR LENGTH	1-9 9=long	: 1_0/	0/ SHORT	/ <u>0</u> _/ MEDIUM	/ <u>0</u> _/ LONG
MARGINS	1.39	: <u>9</u> /		liant, <u>0 /5 /</u> nner, 13000)	CLOSED (Jamestown, Barcrown, Bighorn, MX-86)
GENETIC FO	LIAGE COLOR (Sur	nmer)			
/ GREE	EN LEAF COLOR 1	- 9			
	GHT 2 = (Recent) EDIUM DARK (Aurora,		M LIGHT (Barcrow	n) 4 = (Shadow) 5 = 9 = DARK GREEN	MEDIUM (Jamestown)
/ OTHE	R COLOR/_	/ % PLANT	S WITH		
1 = BL	LUEGREEN 2 = GRA	YGREEN	3 = BLUE 4	= POWDER BLUE 5:	OLIVE GREEN
6 = SF	PECIFY				
PANICLE (Po	ost - Anthesis)				
3/2/3/	cm. PANICLE LENGT	TH (tip to inte	emode)	7.6 cm (tip	to bottom branch)
// //	cm. SHORTER THAN cm shorter than SAME AS				er than 54
	cm. LONGER THAN			5 / 2 /	
213	TYPE (at anthesis)	1 = OPEN	2 = INTERME	DIATE 3 = COMPACT	(Appressed)
247	TYPE (at maturity)				
214	ORIENTATION (at an	thesis)	1 = ERECT	9 = NODDING	
1	ORIENTATION (at ma	aturity)			

PERCENTA	GF	PLAN	TS	WITH

BRANCH PUBESO	CENCE :	/_1/_7/	% GLABRO	US		% PUBESCENT
GLUME COLOR (at anthesis)		/_3/_3/ /_1/_8/ /_1/_7/	% GREEN % BLUISH (% YELLOWISH GREEN % PURPLISH % OTHER (Specify)
ANTHER COLOR (Pre-dehiscent)	: 96% with anthocyan	//_4/ 1 3 _/ 7/ 8/	% YELLOW	SHGREEN and purple		% GREEN % BLUISH GREEN % OTHER (Specify)
		ry (not commercial 1000 seed)				Specify how data collected. 79 g 9 =
1/0/2	/_2/ mg	PER 1,000 SEED	(Seed should	be uniformly dr	ied.)	
		LESS THAN				
//_8	<u>/ 0 /</u> mg.	MORETHAN MORE THAN				
LEMMA						
4 4 6 /	mm. LEMMA	LENGTH (average	of 50)	49/9/	mm. LEMM	A WIDTH (average of 50)
0 43/	mm. LESS TI	HAN	54/	10/2/	mm. LESS 7	THAN
	SAME AS		/		SAME AS	· · · · · · · ·
	mm. MORE T	HAN	/		mm. MORE	THAN/
5/ HAIF		= ABSENT (Jamest Absent		=SEVERAL Short	9 = MANY 9 = Long	(Waldina, Crystal, Fortress, Banner, Merlin, Scaldis, MX-86)
AWNS						
1/0/0	/ % OF SE	EDS WITH AWNS				
2 13 /	mm. AW	N LENGTH (of thos	e seeds with a	wns)		
PALEA						
5/ HAIF		1 = ABSENT (Band 1=Absent	ner)	5 = SHORT(S 5 = Several	Scaldis)	9 = LONG (Jamestown) 9=Many



11.	DISEASE (0 = NC 6 = MC	OT TESTED ODERATELY RESISTANT	1 = HIGHLY SUSCEP	TIBLE	4 = MODERATELY SUSC 9 = HIGHLY RESISTANT)	
	/ LEAF RUST	Puccinia crandallii	_8	/ DOLLAF	SPOT Lanzia and Mollerdi	scus spp.
	/ BROWN PATO	CH Rhizoctonia solani	_4	/ STEMR	UST P. graminis	
	/ MELTING-OU	T Drechslera poae	_ 8	/ RED TH	READ Laetisaris fusciformis	High Fe
	7▲5/ LEAF SPOT L	D. siccans	6		POT Bipolaris sorokiniana	Low Fer
	/ NET BLOTCH	D. dictoiydes		/ POWDE	RY MILDEW Erysiphe gram	inis
	/ PYTHIUM BLI	GHT Pythium spp.		/ SNOW N	MOLD (Gray) Typhula iotana	a
	4/ CHOKE Acre	monium ssp.		/ SNOW	NOLD (Pink) Gerlachia niva	lis
	/ OTHER		_8	PINK P	ATCH	
12.		OT TESTED 1 ODERATELY RESISTAN			MODERATELY SUSCEPTIE HIGHLY RESISTANT)	BLE
	/ (Specify)					
	Must specify r	ange of endophyte in this	s test.			
13	STRESS TOLERAN	CES (0 = NOT TESTE	FD 1-9 9=BE	ST)		T H
	/ HEAT		COLD		/ WINTER COLD	
	/ SALT		DROUGHT		/ HEAVY METAL	
	/ OTHER					
				1-		
14.	WITH WHICH COMP	ARISON IS MADE (1 = L	ESS THAN 2 = SAME	AS 3 = MOR	N RIGHT COLUMN FOR VAF E ERECT, MORE RESISTAN RD CULTIVARS LIST ABOVE	T,
	RESEMBLENCE	CHARACTER ·		SIMILAR VA	RIETY	
	<u>3</u> /	PLANT HABIT (erectne	ss)	51/		
	2/	RHIZOME LENGTH		54/		
	_3/	LEAF COLOR		5 <u>4</u> /aı	nd 51	
	1/	PANICLE COLOR	green	5 <u>1</u> /aı	nd 54	
	TILLIA	WINTER COLOR		/		
	1	SHADE TOLERANCE.		/		
	14 1170	LEAF WDTH		54/		
	nev m2/	PANICLE SHAPE		51_/		
	noticetory 3	COLD INJURY		/		
	110 10	HEAT				
	_/	DISEASE		/		

- 15. GIVE AREA TEST RESULTS PRESENTED FROM Plant Morphological Descriptions-Corvallis, OR Disease Ratings-New Jersey, Minnesota, Washington D.C., R.I.
- 16. ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION: (Use additional sheets as required.)
 Describe all characteristics that cannot be adequately described in the form in Exhibit D. Comparitive varieties should be used as may be appropriate, such as for disease. Append all comparitive trial and evaluation data, including measured characteristics, environmental, and disease tests.

Table 1. Plant characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1991. Data collected on hard fescues established in a row planting in the fall, 1989. Each variety was established in 3 rows, one foot apart and 6 feet long with 3 replications/variety in a randomized complete block. At the time of measurement approximately 6 inches existed between the crowns of individual plants. All measurements were performed on 100 panicles per variety evenly distributed between the 3 reps. The panicles utilized throughout were identified at the time of heading with the plants at the front and back edges of each row not utilized. Spring and summer of 1991 were primarily very cold and wet with a two week warm period in late March. Fine fescues at certain growth stages responded to this warm period with rapid heading, while others were significantly delayed by the later cold.

				Flag Leaf		
Variety	Heading Date (50%)	Anthesis Date (Mean)	Plant Height (cm)	Length (cm)	Width (mm)	
SR 3100	113	155.1	93.80	4.47	1.52	
SR 3000	109	153.3	97.69	4.70	1.59	
Biljart	109	153.4	100.21	5.01	1.59	
Aurora	109	152.7	97.34	4.16	1.52	
Serra	108	152.4	104.04	6.22	1.71	
Scaldis	109	153.1	103.26	5.06	1.51	
Reliant	108	152.2	103.04	5.22	1.63	
Attila	108	152.4	91.85	4.34	1.38	
PST-4HD	107	152.1	87.59	4.47	1.48	
LSD @ 5%		1.1	2.02	0.48	0.08	

Table 2. Panicle characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1991. Plants and planting design were the same as in Table 1.

		Panicle							
	Length (tip to	Orientation	Туре, 1-3	Shape 1=narrow			- 1		1
	bottom branch) 1=upright	1=open	2=ovate	Gli	me Col		Anther (
Variety	(cm)	2=nodding	3=compact	3=oblong	P	GP	G	P	Y
SR 3100	8.70	1.18	2.72	1.03		74	26	92	8
SR 3000	9.83	1.33	2.57	1.07	1	51	48	100	
Biljart	9.35	1.20	2.69	1.02	2	53	45	100	
Aurora	9.04	1.39	2.63	1.06		56	44	100	
Serra	10.94	1.34	2.52	1.15	2	68	30	96	4
Scaldis	10.94	1.37	2.47	1.02		75	25	100	
Reliant	10.13	1.26	2.53	1.08	1	72	27	100	
Attila	8.23	1.46	2.55	1.04		68	32	100	
PST-4HD	8.11	1.15	2.72	1.03		65	35	96	4
LSD @ 5%	0.54	0.12	0.16	0.06					

P=Purple, GP=Green w/Purple, G=Green, Y=Yellow

Table 3. Seed characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1991. Individual panicles collected from same plants as in Tables 1 and 2. 100 seeds were examined per variety.

Av				Palea	Hairs	Lemma	Lemma Hairs		
Variety	<u>Lemma</u> Length	(mm) Width	Length (mm)	Number 1-3 1=Abs, 3=Many	Length 1-3 1-Abs, 3=Long	Number 1-3 1=Abs, 3=Many	Length 1-3 1=Abs, 3=Long		
SR 3100	4.61	0.99	2.26	2.34	2.00	2.05	2.09		
SR 3000	4.89	1.01	2.29	2.90	2.00	2.04	2.35		
Aurora	4.69	1.00	2.00	2.68	2.00	2.02	2.29		
Scaldis	5.09	1.00	2.33	2.95	2.00	2.08	2.00		
Reliant	4.92	1.02	2.30	2.99	2.05	2.23	2.23		
LSD @ 5%	0.1	0.02	0.16	0.10	N.S.	0.09	0.12		

Table 4. Plant characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1992. Plants were space planted in fall, 1991 in 3 reps of 20 plants each with 3 ft. between each plant. The winter of 1991/92 was very warm and dry and many fine fescues did not vernalize well. The hard fescues were much smaller at heading and only plants with more than 3 heads were utilized. The variety Scaldis did not have sufficient plants flowering to be considered representative of the variety and Biljart had many late plants. Fifty data points per variety were collected.

				Plant	Flag Leaf			
The same of the sa				Height	Height	Length	Width	
(Mean)	(S.E.)	(Mean)	(S.E.)	(Cm)	(Cm)	(Cm)	(mm)	
109.1	(1.9)	141.0	(0.8)	40.91	16.85	4.88	0.67	
110.5	(1.3)	138.5	(0.7)	49.23	22.42	5.74	0.81	
113.6	(1.5)	139.9	(0.7)	42.78	19.48	4.79	0.62	
115.1	(1.8)	143.2	(0.7)	38.38	17.13	4.70	0.70	
4.6		2.0		3.41	1.96	0.83	0.06	
	109.1 110.5 113.6 115.1	109.1 (1.9) 110.5 (1.3) 113.6 (1.5) 115.1 (1.8)	(Mean) (S.E.) (Mean) 109.1 (1.9) 141.0 110.5 (1.3) 138.5 113.6 (1.5) 139.9 115.1 (1.8) 143.2	(Mean) (S.E.) (Mean) (S.E.) 109.1 (1.9) 141.0 (0.8) 110.5 (1.3) 138.5 (0.7) 113.6 (1.5) 139.9 (0.7) 115.1 (1.8) 143.2 (0.7)	Heading Date (Mean) Anthesis Date (Mean) Height (cm) 109.1 (1.9) 141.0 (0.8) 40.91 110.5 (1.3) 138.5 (0.7) 49.23 113.6 (1.5) 139.9 (0.7) 42.78 115.1 (1.8) 143.2 (0.7) 38.38	Heading Date (Mean) Anthesis Date (S.E.) Height (cm) Height (cm) 109.1 (1.9) 141.0 (0.8) 40.91 16.85 110.5 (1.3) 138.5 (0.7) 49.23 22.42 113.6 (1.5) 139.9 (0.7) 42.78 19.48 115.1 (1.8) 143.2 (0.7) 38.38 17.13	Heading Date (Mean) Anthesis Date (Mean) Height (cm) Height (cm) Length (cm) 109.1 (1.9) 141.0 (0.8) 40.91 16.85 4.88 110.5 (1.3) 138.5 (0.7) 49.23 22.42 5.74 113.6 (1.5) 139.9 (0.7) 42.78 19.48 4.79 115.1 (1.8) 143.2 (0.7) 38.38 17.13 4.70	

Table 5. Panicle characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1992. Plants were those utilized in Table 4.

	Panicle Length cm	Panicle Shape	Panicle Type	Panicle Orientation	
Variety	(tip to internode)	1=narrow 2=ovate 3=oblong	1=open 2=inter. 3=compact	1=upright 2=nodding	
SR 3100	32.31	2.12	1.52	1.02	
SR 3000	39.42	2.18	1.48	1.07	
Aurora	34.04	2.12	1.56	1.04	
Biljart	29.42	1.91	1.79	1.04	
LSD @ 5%	2.89	0.27	n.s.	n.s.	

Table 6. Leaf characteristics of hard fescue varieties. Subtending leaf was measured at time plant characteristics were evaluated as in Table 4. Additional data on leaf characteristics were taken on 4/7/93 on 60 tiller leaves removed from these plants and observed at 40 X power. It was cool and wet during the later time period.

				% Lea	Foliage Color			
					Margin		Green	Other
Variety	Subtendi Length	ng Leaf Width	Antho- cyanin	Basal Hairs	Roughness	Folding (Rolled)	1-9 9=Dark	1-6
SR 3100	6.07	0.74	100	100	100	100	6.66	0
SR 3000	6.58	0.90	100	100	100	100	6.25	0
Aurora	6.32	0.69	98	100	98	100	5.93	0
Biljart	5.55	0.82	100	100	100	100	6.12	0
Scaldis			100	97	97	56	6.02	1 (5%)
LSD @ 5%	0.75	0.07					0.19	

	Anthocyanin		Margins	
Variety	% with	% with	Auricle Hairs Length (1-9, 9=Long)	% with open
SR 3100	73	100	1.39	95
SR 3000	61	100	2.28	95
Aurora	40	100	2.35	92
Biljart	62	100	3.00	85
Scaldis	48	97	1.39	98
LSD @ 5%			0.22	

Table 7. Plant characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1993. Plants were those utilized in 1992. Sixty measurements (20 per rep) per variety except Scaldis where only one rep survived the previous summers drought. The spring was very cold and wet. Anthesis occurred in waves at specific times when the sun came out which tended to narrow the range. Plant measurements done after anthesis for each plant.

	Heading Date		Anthesis Date			Plant	Flag Leaf	
Variety	50%	Mean	(S.E.)	50%	Mean	(S.E.)	Height (cm)	Height (cm)
SR 3100	116	115.1	(0.7)	149	147.8	(0.3)	57.59	17.43
SR 3000	116	115.2	(0.7)	146	146.3	(0.3)	65.19	22.03
Aurora	113	112.7	(1.0)	144	146.2	(0.5)	58.97	19.60
Scaldis	112	108.0	(2.5)	144	143.1	(1.5)	71.26	26.97
Biljart	116	114.8	(1.0)	149	146.6	(0.5)	65.93	21.10
LSD @ 5%		2.5			1.2		4.38	1.78

Table 8. Leaf characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1993. Plants were those used in Table 7 measured after anthesis.

Flag	Leaf	Subtending Leaf		
Length (cm)	Width (mm)	Length (cm)	Width (mm)	
4.00	0.79	4.98	0.76	
4.62	0.90	5.45	0.86	
3.94	0.85	4.98	0.88	
8.15	1.96	7.27	1.59	
4.67	0.81	5.49	0.77	
0.50	0.13	0.52	0.12	
	4.00 4.62 3.94 8.15 4.67	4.00 0.79 4.62 0.90 3.94 0.85 8.15 1.96 4.67 0.81	Length (cm) Width (mm) Length (cm) 4.00 0.79 4.98 4.62 0.90 5.45 3.94 0.85 4.98 8.15 1.96 7.27 4.67 0.81 5.49	

Table 9. Panicle characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1993. Plants were those used in Table 7 measured and observed after anthesis. Some plants had both purple and yellow anthers predehiscent possibly influenced by cold weather and low light levels for more anthocyania production. Yellow with purple was both colors on same anther.

	Panicle length	Panicle	Panicle	Branch	Anther Color (%)				
Variety	(cm - tip to bottom branch)	Shape (1-9)	Orientation (1-9)	Pubescence %	Yellow	Yellow & Purple	Purple	Yellow w/Purple	
SR 3100	7.55	2.32	2.37	83	4	13	78	6	
SR 3000	8.38	2.57	3.08	62	11	30	56	4	
Aurora	7.64	2.32	2.63	52	19	24	55	2	
Scaldis	11.88	1.37	3.16	85	25	58	17	0	
Biljart	8.52	2.37	3.03	82	25	17	58	0	
LSD @ 5%	0.58	0.28	0.35						

Table 10. Glume colors of hard fescue varieties in 1992 and 1993. Data was collected on the same plants in both years. In 1992 color data was as classified by technicians in field. In 1993 color descriptions utilized were those on fine fescue Exhibit C.

		199	2		1993					
		percen	tage			I	percentage			
Variety	Light Purple	Greenish Purple	Purple	Green	Reddish	Bluish Green	Purplish	Green	Yellowish Green	
SR 3100	13	41	5	41	17	18	32	33	0	
SR 3000	26	41	8	25	17	10	18	40	13	
Aurora	8	33	0	59	10	13	28	32	15	
Biljart	20	30	18	32	10	12	33	43	2	
Scaldis					45	0	20	35	0	

Light purple in 1992 appears to be equivalent to bluish green but occasionally this was classified as green. 1993 data is representative of breeder classification. Comparing 1991, (Table 2) 1992, 1993 data the easiest way to view it is with or without anthocyanin.

930030

Table 21. Plant characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1994. Plants were established fall, 1992 but were considered too small for reliable data in 1993. Stem rust occurred in all varieties in 1993 and affected survival of some plants into 1994. All varieties established in 2 replications of 35 plants each for 70 plants total. The fall of 1993 was very dry, with a cold dry November, followed by a warm winter. The spring was warm early, then cycled between warm and cool periods. Tilt was applied 2 times to prevent stem rust and one irrigation was necessary to prevent dormancy during the spring. This was a new site for PVP trials approximately 6 miles south with improved soils.

	Heading Date			Anthesis Date			Plant Height	Flag Leaf Height
Variety	50%	Mean	(S.E.)	50%	Mean	(S.E.)	(cm)	(cm)
SR 3100	110	111.2	(0.7)	143	142.8	(0.3)	54.95	25.58
SR 3000	108	106.4	(0.8)	139	138.9	(0.5)	67.46	32.23
Aurora	110	109.4	(0.7)	140	140.8	(0.3)	58.36	27.97
Scaldis	108	106.1	(0.6)	140	140.0	(0.4)	71.56	33.41
Biljart	108	108.5	(0.9)	142	141.2	(0.4)	56.83	25.48
LSD @ 5%		2.1			1.2		2.10	1.55



Table 22. Leaf characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1994. Plant were those used in Table 21 measured after anthesis.

Flag I	Leaf	Subtending Leaf			
Length (cm)	Width (mm)	Length (cm)	Width (mm)		
7.81	1.63	8.43	1.57		
8.85	1.74	10.01	1.76		
7.61	1.66	8.88	1.73		
9.13	1.66	10.71	1.74		
6.54	1.66	7.78	1.68		
0.75	0.11	0.62	0.11		
	7.81 8.85 7.61 9.13 6.54	7.81 1.63 8.85 1.74 7.61 1.66 9.13 1.66 6.54 1.66	Length (cm) Width (mm) Length (cm) 7.81 1.63 8.43 8.85 1.74 10.01 7.61 1.66 8.88 9.13 1.66 10.71 6.54 1.66 7.78		

Table 23. Panicle characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1994. Plants were those used in Table 21 measured and observed after anthesis.

	Panicl	e Length (cm)	Panicle Shape	Panicle	Branch
Variety	Tip to Node	Tip to Bottom Branch	1=Open 3=Compact	Orientation (1-9)	Pubescence %
SR 3100	45.76	10.04	1.97	2.17	86
SR 3000	52.33	11.73	2.51	3.23	93
Aurora	48.13	11.16	2.03	2.62	68
Scaldis	58.43	12.84	2.29	3.41	96
Biljart	48.12	10.01	2.38	2.55	91
LSD @ 5%	2.27	0.69	0.21	0.27	9

	Anther Color %								
Variety	Yellow	Green	Yellow w/ Purple	Green w/ Purple	Purple	Other			
SR 3100	8	19	4	4	63	2-Orange			
SR 3000	7	3	20	22	39	3-Orange 2-Maroon			
Aurora	5	5	9	30	50	2-Maroon			
Scaldis	12	5	20	18	40	2-red 2-Orange 2-Maroon			
Biljart	8	16	25	6	41	2-Maroon			

	Glume Color %								
Variety	Green	Blue Green	Red	Yellow Green	Purple	Green/Red			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(Other=6)			
SR 3100	4	33	14	0	42	7			
SR 3000	12	14	24	10	21	19			
Aurora	6	30	26	0	30	8			
Scaldis	5	14	26	2	31	22			
Biljart	2	21	15	0	49	13			

Table 24. Seed characteristics of hard fescue varieties in 1994. Seed examined were collected from the panicles used for PVP analysis.

	Lem	na	AND THE RESERVE	Awns
Variety	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	8	Length (mm)
SR 3100	4.34	0.93	100	1.86
SR 3000	4.37	0.91	100	1.76
Aurora	4.18	0.86	80	1.30
Scaldis	5.36	0.98	90	1.41
Biljart	4.59	0.91	100	1.63
LSD @ 5%	0.14	0.02		0.17

Variety	Palea		Lemma		Basal	
	Quantity	Length	Quantity	Length	Quantity	Length
SR 3100	3.2	4.0	1.9	1.9	4.5	2.9
SR 3000	3.2	4.9	2.0	2.4	5.2	3.0
Aurora	3.1	4.7	2.1	3.9	4.6	3.0

930030

Table 25. Comparative Leaf Sheath anthocyanin characteristics of Aurora and SR 3100 hard fescues on October 25, 1994. On this date none of the leaves had discernible anthocyanin. The length of the sheath in which the anthocyanin was present is given as a percentage of the length of the leaf sheath. The leaf sheath of the Aurora plants was significantly longer than the leaf sheath of SR 3100 and the above appeared to be the best way to remove this difference. Tillers were removed from the plants at the base and the surrounding leaf sheaths removed so a fresh leaf sheath from the last fully expanded leaf could be examined. When present the anthocyanin varied from pink to a very dark red (purple). It is unknown if this is due to a quantity difference or different pigments.

		Color – % Plants with					
		Pink	Red	Dark Red			
Variety	None	5%< 25% 50% 75%	5%< 25% 50% 75%	50% 75%			
Aurora	9	20 25 20 0	11 9 6 0	0 0			
Total%	9	% pink = 65	% red = 26	% dark red = 0			
SR 3100	0	3 14 23 6	0 0 31 9	_113			
Total%	0	% pink = 46	% red = 40	% dark red = 14			

Variety	Percentage of each % leaf sheath with Anthocyanin					
	0	5%	25%	50%	75%	
Aurora	9	31	34	26	0	
SR 3100	0	3	14	66	17	

DOCUMENTATION IN SUPPORT OF CERTIFICATE

A 19 page appendix containing multi-year trial results from the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program and the Rutger's Turfgrass Proceedings was submitted in support of this document and is on file in the Plant Variety Protection Office.

EXHIBIT E.

SR 3100 was developed by Seed Research of Oregon, Inc. utilizing germplasm developed by Dr. C. Reed Funk of Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ. By an agreement dated April 30, 1993 between New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Dr. C. Reed Funk and Seed Research of Oregon, Inc. all rights to SR 3100 are assigned to Seed Research of Oregon, Inc.

ASSIGNMENT OF SR-3100 HARD FESCUE

WHEREAS, Cyril R. Funk, Jr., 4 Delaware Drive, East Brunswick, New Jersey 08816; Michael F. Robinson, P.O. Box 1416, Corvallis, Oregon 97339; Bruce Clarke, 93 Trieste St., Iselin, NJ 08830; Ronald Bara, 418 Cedar Grove Lane, Somerset, NJ 08873; Dirk Smith, 410 Raleigh Road, Brick, NJ 08723; and James Murphy, 432 Danbury Lane, East Brunswick, NJ 08816 have directed the breeding of SR-3100 hard fescue.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of one (1.00) DOLLAR and other valuable considerations made to each of us and the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station by Seed Research of Oregon, Inc., P.O. Box 1416, Corvallis, Oregon 97339 including those designated in our Agreement dated, April 30, 1993 we hereby assign unto the said Seed Research of Oregon, Inc. our entire interest in SR-3100 hard fescue for the United States of America and all foreign countries and any plant variety protection to be issued therefore in the United States or any foreign country. The commissioner, United States Plant Variety Protection Office is requested to issue the plant variety protection certificate in accordance herewith.

Sworn and subscribed to before me this $26 \, \text{day}$ of May, 1993.

Hatricia Mala Notary Public of New Jersey before me this 25 day of May, 1993.

Notary Public of New Jersey Commission agein 5-14,95

28

Sworn and subscribed to

before me this 25 day

of May , 1993.

James Murphy

A Minghy

Sworn and subscribed to

before me this 28 day

of May , 1993.

Notary Public of New Jersey
Commission Spins 5-14.95