No. 8600096

# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

## Asgrow Seed Company

Whereas, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE

**Secretary of Agriculture**

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT TY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT T. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

BEAN

'Eureka'

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D. C. this 29th day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-nine.

Attest:

Kenneth A. Evans
Commissioner
Plant Variety Protection Office
Agricultural Marketing Service

Clayton Yeutter
Secretary of Agriculture

APPROVAL EXPIRES 4-30-85

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

# APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE

*(Instructions on reverse)*

FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055

Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).

1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)
Asgrow Seed Company

2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
XP-B167

3. VARIETY NAME
EUREKA
~~8600096~~
per letter 11/2/89 VAL

4. ADDRESS *(Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)*
~~9626-190-31~~
~~9620-190-20~~
Kalamazoo, MI 49001

5. PHONE *(Include area code)*
(616) 385-~~6605~~ 6608

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

PVPO NUMBER
8600096

6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME
Phaseolus vulgaris L.

7. FAMILY NAME *(Botanical)*
Leguminosae

FILING
DATE
February 27, 1986
TIME
10:30 ☑ A.M. ☐ P.M.

8. KIND NAME
Garden Bean

9. DATE OF DETERMINATION
September 1982

FEES RECEIVED
AMOUNT FOR FILING
$ 1800.00
DATE
February 27, 1986
AMOUNT FOR CERTIFICATE
$ 200.00
DATE
Dec. 15, 1989

10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZATION *(Corporation, partnership, association, etc.)*
Corporation

11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION
Delaware

12. DATE OF INCORPORATION
March 22, 1968

13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS
~~Mr. John A. Batcha~~ ~~Dr. John Ecross~~ MS. KATHY WARD 4/88 VAL.
Asgrow Seed Co. BLDG. 190, GULL RD.
~~9620-190-20~~ Kalamazoo, MI 49001
9626-190-31
(616) 385-~~6605~~ 6608
PHONE *(Include area code)*:

14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED
- a. ☒ Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety *(See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.)*
- b. ☒ Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.
- c. ☒ Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety *(Request form from Plant Variety Protection Office.)*
- d. ☐ Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.
- e. ☒ Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership.

15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? *(See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act.)*
☐ Yes *(If "Yes," answer items 16 and 17 below)* ☒ No

16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?
☐ Yes ☐ No

17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PRODUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
☐ Foundation ☐ Registered ☐ Certified

18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U.S.?
☐ Yes *(If "Yes," give date)*
☒ No

19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR OTHER COUNTRIES?
Offered for sale June 1985 in U.S.
☒ Yes *(If "Yes," give names of countries and dates)*
☐ No

20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds of this variety will be furnished with the application and will be replenished upon request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable.

The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexually reproduced novel plant variety, and believe(s) that the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.

Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protection and result in penalties.

| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT | DATE |
|---|---|
| John A. Batcha | Febry 20, 1986 |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT | DATE |
| | |

FORM WA-470 (7-84) (Edition of 3-84 is obsolete.)

1

## INSTRUCTIONS

**General:** Send an original copy of the application and exhibits, at least 2,500 viable seeds, and $1,800 fee *($200 filing fee and $1,600 examination fee)* to U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, Plant Variety Protection Office, National Agricultural Library Building, Beltsville, Maryland 20705. *(See section 180.175 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)* Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the form are self-explanatory unless noted below.

**Item**

9 Give the date the applicant determined that he had a new variety based on (1) the definition in section 41(a) of the Act and (2) the date a decision was made to increase the seed.

14a Give: (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method; (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication; (3) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified and (4) evidence of uniformity and stability.

14b Give a summary statement of the variety's novelty. Clearly state how this novel variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety most closely resembles one or a group of related varieties: (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively; (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these are clear differences; and (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs of seed and plant comparisons clearly indicating novelty.

14c Fill in the Exhibit C, Objective Description form, for all characteristics for which you have adequate data.

14d Describe any additional characteristics that are not described, or whose description cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the description of characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.

14e Section 52(4) of the Plant Variety Protection Act requires applicants to furnish a statement of the basis of the applicant's ownership. The applicant may be the actual breeder, the employer of the breeder, the owner through purchase or inheritance, etc.

15 If "Yes" is specified *(seed of this variety be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed)* the applicant may NOT reverse his affirmative decision after the variety has either been sold and so labeled, his decision published, or the certificate has been issued. However, if the applicant specified "No," he may change his choice. *(See section 180.16 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)*

19 See section 41 (i, j) and 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act and section 180.7 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice for eligibility requirements.

Asgrow Seed Company
PVP Application - Garden Bean XPB167
February 20, 1986

8600096

EXHIBIT A 'EUREKA' 11-4-89 VAL

Origin and Breeding History of <XP-B167> Garden Bean

The original cross was Goldrush x Strike, and XP-B167 was developed from that cross at Asgrow's Western Breeding Station (WBS), Twin Falls, Idaho, as follows:

1-24-76 Parental line Goldrush (B91) and Strike (B77) planted in the greenhouse at WBS. Crosses made.

6-1-76 Planted $F_1$ seed in the field at WBS. Advanced one generation.

6-4-77 Planted $F_2$ population in the field at WBS. Individual plant selections made.

6-3-78 Planted $F_3$ line in the field at WBS. Individual plant selections made.

6-5-79 Planted $F_4$ line in the field at WBS. Individual plant selections made.

5-31-80 Planted $F_5$ line in the field at WBS. Individual plant selections made.

6-1-81 Planted $F_6$ line in the field at WBS. Individual plant selections made.

6-4-82 Planted $F_7$ line in the field at WBS under the number R825141. Observations during the growing season indicated the line was uniform and breeding true. All subsequent increases of XP-B167 trace to the bulk of R825141.

5-27-85 Planted approximately 300 single plant selections, all tracing back to R825141, in the field at WBS under the number F85736. Observations during the growing season confirmed the line was uniform and breeding true.

Observations indicated XP-B167 is uniform and stable within commercially acceptable limits. As is true with other garden bean varieties, a small percentage of variants or offtypes can occur within commercially acceptable limits, for almost any characteristic during the course of repeated multiplications.

DMW
12/11/85

2.

8600096

EXHIBIT B

Novelty Statement Concerning Eureka (B167) Garden Bean

Eureka is a round-podded wax bean with a relatively long slender pod. To our knowledge the variety most similar to Eureka is Goldrush. The comparative characteristic that best distinguishes Eureka from Goldrush includes but may not be limited to sieve size:

Over 6 years of trial at Asgrow's Western Breeding Station, Twin Falls, Idaho, and with measurements made at the same comparative maturity, based on percent seed in the pod, Eureka averaged 57% 3 sieve and smaller pods, compared to 31% for Goldrush (table). A difference this great would be expected in only 2% of such comparisons if there were no real difference in sieve size.

Percent 3 Sieve and Smaller Pods

| | Eureka | Goldrush |
|---|---|---|
| 1983 | 94 | 32 |
| 1984 | 61 | 31 |
| 1985 | 40 | 32 |
| 1986 | 61 | 40 |
| 1987 | 45 | 25 |
| 1988 | 41 | 27 |

EXHIBIT B

Novelty Statement Concerning Eureka (BI67) Garden Bean

Eureka is a round-podded wax bean with a relatively long slender pod. To our knowledge the variety most similar to Eureka is Goldrush. The comparative characteristic that best distinguishes Eureka from Goldrush includes but may not be limited to sieve size:

Over 6 years of trial at Asgrow's Western Breeding Station, Twin Falls, Idaho, and with measurements made at the same comparative maturity, based on percent seed in the pod, Eureka averaged 57% 3 sieve and smaller pods, compared to 31% for Goldrush (table). A difference this great would be expected in only 2% of such comparisons if there were no real difference in sieve size.

Percent 3 Sieve and Smaller Pods

| | Eureka | Goldrush |
|---|---|---|
| 1983 | 64 | 32 |
| 1984 | 61 | 31 |
| 1985 | 40 | 32 |
| 1986 | 61 | 40 |
| 1987 | 45 | 25 |
| 1988 | 41 | 27 |

February 20, 1986

FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 40-R3822

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK, POULTRY, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C
*(Bean)*

# OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

*BEAN (Phaseolus vulgaris L.)*

**NAME OF APPLICANT(S)**
Asgrow Seed Company

**ADDRESS** *(Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)*
Kalamazoo, MI 49001

**FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY**

**PVPO NUMBER** 8600096

**VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION** <XP-B167> 'EUREKA' 11/2/87 WRL

Place numbers in the boxes (e.g. [0][8][9]) for the characters that best describe this variety. Measured data should be for SPACED PLANTS. Ranges may also be given. Royal Horticultural Society or any recognized color standard may be used to determine plant colors; designate system used: _____. The location of test area is Twin Falls, ID. Please answer questions appropriate for your variety if the information is available.

**1. TYPE:**

[2] 1 = Field *(dry-edible)* 2 = Garden

**2. MARKET MATURITY:**

[7][4] Days to edible pods

[ ][ ] Days to green shells

[ ][ ][ ] Days to dry seeds

[ ][ ][ ][ ] Heat units to edible pods

[ ][ ][ ][ ] Heat units to green shells

[ ][ ][ ][ ] Heat units to dry seeds

[ ][ ] No. days earlier than ..... [ ]

..... Same as .. [ ]

[0][5] No. days later than ..... [8]

1 = Tendercrop
2 = Kentucky Wonder
3 = Kinghorn Wax
4 = White Kidney
5 = Michelite 62
6 = Dwarf Horticultural
7 = Bush Blue Lake 290
8 = Other (specify below) Goldrush

**3. PLANT:**

[1] 1 = Determinate 2 = Indeterminate

[0][4][0] cm height

[0][2] cm shorter than ..... [8]

Same as .. [ ]

[ ][ ] cm taller than ..... [ ]

comparison variety from above

[5][3] cm spread

[0][0][3] Number primary branches near base

[ ][ ] cm narrower than ..... [ ]

width same as ... [ ]

[0][1] cm wider than ..... [8]

comparison variety from above

[1] Branching habit: 1 = compact 2 = open

[1] Main stalk: 1 = brittle 2 = wirey

[1] 1 = stout 2 = thin

3. PLANT: (Cont'd)

8600096 <XP-B167> 'EUREKA' 11/2/89 JM

[3] Pod position: 1 = low 2 = high 3 = scattered

[1] Bush form (illustrated below):

1 = spherical bush form 2 = stem bush form 3 = wide bush form 4 = high bush form

5 = other (specify) _____

4. LEAVES:

[1] 1 = smooth 2 = wrinkled

[1] 1 = dull 2 = glossy

[3] Size: 1 = small (Earliwax) 2 = medium 3 = large (Tendercrop)

[2] Color: 1 = light green (as light or lighter than Bountiful) 2 = medium green
3 = dark green (as dark or darker than Bush Blue Lake 290)

5. FLOWERS:

[1] Color: 1 = white 2 = cream 3 = pink 4 = lilac 5 = purple 6 = Other (specify)_____

[4][8] Days to 50% bloom

6. FRESH PODS: (Edible maturity, average for 20 pods)

[5] Exterior color: 1 = light green (as light or lighter than Bountiful)
2 = medium green
3 = dark green (as dark or darker than Bush Blue Lake 290)
4 = light yellow (Brittlewax)
5 = golden yellow (Cherokee Wax)
6 = green-red variagated (Horticultural)
7 = other (specify)

_____

% Sieve size distribution at optimum maturity for non-flat pods

Note:
1 = 4.76 mm to 5.76 mm
2 = 5.76 mm to 7.34 mm
3 = 7.34 mm to 8.34 mm
4 = 8.34 mm to 9.53 mm
5 = 9.53 mm to 10.72 mm
6 = 10.72 mm or larger

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ← | 65 | 32 | 3 | → |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3 sieve | [ ][ ] cm length | [ ][ ] mm width | [ ][ ] mm thickness |
| 4 sieve | [1][4] cm length | [0][9] mm width | [0][9] mm thickness |
| 5 sieve | [ ][ ] cm length | [ ][ ] mm width | [ ][ ] mm thickness |
| 6 sieve | [ ][ ] cm length | [ ][ ] mm width | [ ][ ] mm thickness |

8600096 XP-B167>

'Eureka' 14/69mm

6. **FRESH PODS: (Cont'd)**

[3] Cross section pod shape: 1 = flat 2 = oval 3 = round 4 = heart

[2] Creaseback: 1 = present 2 = absent

[2] Pubescence: 1 = none 2 = sparse 3 = considerable

[2] Spur: 1 = straight 2 = slightly curved 3 = curved

[2] Constrictions: 1 = none 2 = slight 3 = deep

[ ] Pod flesh: 1 = light 2 = medium 3 = dark (Wax)

[1][3] mm spur length

[1] Fiber: 1 = none 2 = sparse 3 = considerable

[7] Number of seeds per pod

[1] Surface: 1 = smooth 2 = rough

[2] Suture string: 1 = present 2 = absent

[2] Seed development (Snap Bean): 1 = slow 2 = medium 3 = fast

[1] Machine harvest: 1 = adapted 2 = not adapted

[6] Pod flavor: (1) Standard (Tendercrop)
(2) Mild Blue Lake (BBL 274)
(3) Strong Blue Lake (Pole FM1)
(4) Mild Romano (Roma)
(5) Strong Romano (Pole Romano)
(6) Other (specify) Wax standard

7. **SEED COAT COLOR:**

[1] 1 = Monochrome 2 = Polychrome [2] 1 = shiny 2 - dull

[1] Primary color: 1 = white 2 = yellow 3 = buff 4 = tan

[ ] Secondary color: 5 = brown 6 = pink 7 = red 8 = purple
9 = blue 10 = black 11 = other (specify) _____

[ ] Color Pattern: 1 = none 2 = splashed 3 = mottled 4 = striped 5 = flecked 6 = dotted

[ ] Secondary color location: 1 = hilar ring 2 = ventral surface
3 = sides 4 = dorsal surface
5 = not restricted to any area 6 = combination of location (specify below)

_____

[ ] Hilar ring on colored seeds: 1 = absent 2 = narrow 3 = butterfly shaped

8. **SEED SHAPE AND SIZE:**

[1] Hilum view: 1 = elliptical 2 = oval 3 = round [4] Cross section: 1 = elliptical 2 = oval 3 = cordate 4 = round

[1] Side view:

1 = oval to oblong

2 = round

3 = reniform


RECEIVED
FEB 27 1986
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AMS
PVPO

8. SEED SHAPE AND SIZE: (Cont'd)

8600096

<XP-B167>
'EUREKA' 1/4/89

[2] 1 = truncate ends 2 = rounded ends

[2][2] gm/100 seed

[0][2] gm/100 seed lighter than ..... [8]

gm/100 seed same as .... [ ]

[ ][ ] gm/100 seed heavier than ..... [ ]

comparison variety from page one

9. ANTHOCYANIN: (1 = absent 2 = present)

[1] Flowers [1] Stems [1] Pods [1] Seeds [1] Leaves

10. DISEASE RESISTANCE (0 = not tested 1 = susceptible 2 = resistant):

[0] Anthracnose (specify race below) _____

[0] Rust (specify race below) _____

[0] Powdery mildew

[0] Fusarium root rot

[0] Pythium root rot

[0] Rhizoctonia root rot

[0] Pythium wilt

[0] Angular leaf spot

[0] Bacterial wilt

[0] Halo blight (specify race below) _____

[0] Fuscous blight

[0] Red node virus

[0] Pod mottle virus

[2] Bean common mosaic virus (specify strain below) "I" gene, resistant to mosaic by all strains

[2] Mosaic mottle

[1] Black root

[0] Bean yellow mosaic virus

[0] Curly top

[0] Other (specify below) _____

11. INSECT RESISTANCE: (0 = not tested 1 = susceptible 2 = resistant)

[0] Aphids

[0] Leaf hopper

[0] Lygus

[0] Pod borer

[0] Root knot nematode

[0] Seed corn maggot

[0] Thrips

[0] Weavils

[ ] Other (specify below) _____

12. PHYSIOLOGICAL RESISTANCE: (0 = not tested 1 = susceptible 2 = resistant)

[0] Heat [0] Cold [0] Drought [0] Air pollution

13. COMMENTS:

**Asgrow Seed Company**
subsidiary of The Upjohn Company

Research Department, Twin Falls
P.O. Box 1235
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-1235

Phone
Filer, Idaho (208) 326-4321

EXHIBIT D
PVP 8600096

November 2, 1989

Virginia Lerch, Plant Examiner
Plant Variety Protection Office
NAL Building, Rm 500
10301 Baltimore Blvd
Beltsville, MD 20705-2351

Dear Ms Lerch:

Subject: Green bean application PVP 8600096, <XP-B167>

Per discussion with Kathy Ward I am responding to your letter of Sept 14, regarding Exhibit B of the above variety, now named Eureka.

Since the original Exhibit B was filed we have 3 additional years data, included in a new Exhibit B, attached. As indicated we find the difference in sieve size to be highly significant, and we are confident we could distinguish Eureka from Goldrush in a side-by-side comparison based on sieve size.

We are not able to provide separate statistics for each of the years cited in the data because there is only one optimal harvest date per year for a variety (based on nearness to 13% seed content in 4 sieve pods of the variety); the sieve data cited is for that harvest, unique for each variety. Snap bean yield trials are cumbersome to execute; there are multiple harvests and we do not determine separate measures for each of the 4 replicates in a trial for each harvest. The 4 reps are bulked for measures of yield, sieve size, and percent seed.

The trend of a greater variance for sieve size of Eureka, as compared to Goldrush, might represent a trend of greater variances for values nearer the midpoint (50% in this case), not uncommon in measures on biological systems. Eureka has a lower percent 4 sieve pods at a given harvest, and there may be a greater variance of the % seed measures related to smaller sample size. I am not certain if the trend is real, or, if so, the actual cause. Again, based on our experience with the two varieties we feel they can be distinguished in side-by-side comparisons based on sieve size.

Sincerely,

D Webster

David Webster
Research Plant Scientist

cc Kathy Ward

8.


RECEIVED
USDA AMS
NOV 7 - 1989
Plant Variety
Protection Office

8600096

Asgrow Seed Company
PVP Application - Garden Bean XPB167
February 20, 1986

EXHIBIT E

**Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership**

'EUREKA' 11/2/89 VDL

XPB167 was originated and developed by Dr. John D. Atkin and Dr. David M. Webster, Asgrow Plant Breeders. By agreement between employee and Asgrow Seed Company, all rights to any invention, discovery, or development made by an employee are assigned to the Company. No rights to such invention, discovery, or development are retained by the employee.

SECURITY AGREEMENT

By

SEMINIS VEGETABLE SEEDS, INC.,

SEMINIS, INC.

and

THE DOMESTIC SUBSIDIARIES PARTY HERETO,
as Grantors,

and

CITICORP NORTH AMERICA, INC.,
as Collateral Agent

Dated as of September 29, 2003

Original document filed in front office.
(52 pages)

#559734