ALBERTON HARRING OF ANY HERRICA

'no all to whom these presents shall come:

Sopbean Research Foundation, Inc.

Minereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agreemiliane

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant unto the said applicant(s) and the successors, heirs or assigns of the said applicant(s) for the term of accenteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic 5 of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exothers from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, orting it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act 32, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

SOYBEAN

'PL 72-3176L!

In Testimony Watercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington this 15th day of May in

this 15th day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand nine

Secretary of Agricult

handred and eighty.

Attost:

Commissioner Protoction Office Plant Variety Protoction Office Grain Division Agricultural Marketing Service

UNITED STATES DEPARTMEI AGRICULTURAL MARK LIVESTOCK, POULTRY, GRA		FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 40-R3822			
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIE INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse,	ICATE be issued unless a	No certificate for plant variety protection may be issued unless a completed application form has been received (5 U.S.C. 553).			
1a. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OF VARIETY	16. VARIETY NAME		CIAL USE ONLY		
72-3176 Mgs	PL 72-3176L	79001			
2. KIND NAME	3. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	FILING DATE	TIME		
Soybeans	Glycine max (L.) N	FEE RECEIVED	10:30 P.M.		
4. FAMILY NAME (BOTANICAL)	5. DATE OF DETERMINATION	\$ 500.00	8-30-79		
Leguminosae	October, 1975	\$ 250.00	2/28/80		
6. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	7. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R	.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP	8. TELEPHONE AREA		
Soybean Research	Code)115 N. Perry	St.	CODE AND NUMBER		
Foundation, Inc.	Mason City, 1	[L 62664	217 482-3219		
9. IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A PE ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, partnersh		DRPORATED, GIVE STATE AND FINCORPORATION	D 11. DATE OF INCOR- PORATION		
Corporation	• •	Illinois	April 28, 1965		
12. NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF APP	LICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF	ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPL	ICATION AND RECEIVE		
ALL PAPERS: Arnold L. Matso	on, Director of Soy	ybean Breeding			
Soybean Keseard	in roundation, Inc.	•			
Mason City, Ili	Linois 62664 IMENT SUBMITTED:	····			
3A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree		Section 52 of the Plant Vari	ety Protection Act.)		
13B. Exhibit B, Novelty Statem			•		
13C. Exhibit C, Objective Description of the Variety (Request form from Plant Variety Protection Office.)					
13D. Exhibit D, Additional Description of the Variety.					
AA DOES THE ARRIVAL OF STATE AND THE			AA - 01 100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		
14a. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? (See Section 83(a). (If "Yes," answer 14B and 14C below.) YES NO					
14b. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THA LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERAT		ES," TO 14B, HOW MANY GENE BEYOND BREEDER SEED?	ERATIONS OF PRODUC-		
YES NO	FOUN	DATION REGISTERED	CERTIFIED		
15a. DID THE APPLICANT(S) FILE FOR PROT name of countries and dates.)	ECTION OF THIS VARIETY IN OT	HER COUNTRIES? YES	NO (If "Yes," give		
·					
15b. HAVE RIGHTS BEEN GRANTED THIS VA and dates.)	ARIETY IN OTHER COUNTRIES?	YES NO (If "Yes	g," give name of countries		
			•		
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) AGREE TO TH	E PUBLICATION OF HIS/HER (TH	EIR) NAME(S) AND ADDRESS	IN THE OFFICIAL		
JOURNAL? X YES	∐ NO				
 The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable replenished upon request in accordance 			e application and will be		
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexually reproduced novel plant variety, and believe(s) that the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Variety Act.					
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that fals	e representation herein can jeopa	ardize protection and result in	penalties.		
	1		IA		
August 20, 1979		em ML	Mation		
August 20, 1979		Emold Z. (SIGNATURE OF APP	Matson		
		CSIGNATURE OF APP	Matson		

(DATE) FORM GR-470 (1-78)

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: Send an original copy of the application and exhibits, at least 2,500 viable seeds, and \$500 fee (\$250 filing fee and \$250 examination fee) to U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, Livestock, Poultry, Grain and Seed Division, Plant Variety Protection Office, National Agricultural Library Building, Beltsville, Maryland 20705. (See section 180.175 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.) Retain one copy for your files. All items on the face of the form are self-explanatory unless noted below.

ITEM

- Give the date the applicant determined that he had a new variety based on (1) the definition in section 41(a) of the Act and (2) the date a decision was made to increase the seed.
- Give: (1) the genealogy, including public and commercial varieties, lines, or clones used, and the breeding method; (2) the details of subsequent stages of selection and multiplication; (3) the type and frequency of variants during reproduction and multiplication and state how these variants may be identified and (4) evidence of uniformity and stability.
- Give a summary statement of the variety's novelty. Clearly state how this novel variety may be distinguished from all other varieties in the same crop. If the new variety most closely resembles one or a group of related varieties:

 (1) identify these varieties and state all differences objectively; (2) attach statistical data for characters expressed numerically and demonstrate that these differences are significant; and (3) submit, if helpful, seed and plant specimens or photographs of seed and plant comparisons clearly indicating novelty.
- Fill in the Exhibit C, Objective Description form, for all characteristics for which you have adequate data.
- Describe any additional characteristics that are not described, or whose description cannot be accurately conveyed in Exhibit C. Use comparative varieties as is necessary to reveal more accurately the description of characteristics that are difficult to describe, such as, plant habit, plant color, disease resistance, etc.
- 14a If "YES" is specified (seed of this variety be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed) the applicant may NOT reverse his affirmative decision after the variety has either been sold and so labeled, his decision published, or the certificate has been issued. However, if the applicant specified "NO," he may change his choice. (See section 180.16 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.)
- See section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act and section 180.7 of the Regulations and Rules of Practice.



PL 72-3176L

Exhibit A -

The line 72-3176 originates from a single F_4 plant from the cross Corsoy x Amsoy grown in 1971. line appeared to be phentypically uniform except that it was segregating for tan and brown pods. In 1976, 746 Fg progeny rows (seed from individual Fg plants) from 72-3176 were grown and all rows uniform except for pod color were harvested as individual lines. 168 lines homozygous for tan pod color were put into isolated yield trials in 1977 and the 57 highest yielding lines were bulked to produce breeders seed of PL 72-3176L. is stable for all phenotypic characters and no variant are a part of the variety description. All breeding and selection work was carried out at the Soybean Research Foundation under the supervision of Dr. Arnold L. Matson. I have inspected this variety at all stages of development and I find no evidence of instability in the variety.

Exhibit B -

PL 723299L

PL 72-3176L is very similar to-PL 72-3299L but may be distinguished from PL 72-3299L since it is 2 days earlier in maturity.

PL 723299L

OF NOVEMBER 5, 1979. RYS 11/9/79

OF JANUARY 31, 1980 BYS 2/5/80



EXHIBIT B ADDENDUM

SOYBEAN RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC.

POST OFFICE BOX 72 * MASON CITY * ILL * 62664 * AC 217/482-3219

November 23, 1979

Dr. Robert J. Snyder, Examiner
Plant Variety Protection Office
USDA - AMS
Livestock, Poultry, Grain & Seed Division
National Agricultural Library Building
Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Dear Dr. Snyder:

PL7232996

Enclosed are statistical data to support the maturity difference between PL 72-3176L and PL 72-3299L. These data were for PL 72-3176L and PL 72-3299L before we separated out the tan and brown pods - however it is valid data because there is no maturity difference between the tan and brown pods within either line. Maturities are stated as days after August 31. These were all three replication tests.

Tе	st Ye	ar Loca	ation	<u> P</u> 4	72-3176L	P472732996	<u>Dif</u>
T42-2A	. 19	74 San	Jose, IL		34	38	4
					33 34	34 36	<u>2</u>
T42-2E	19	175 San	Jose, IL		2.6 2.7	26 28	0 1
T42-2E	19	75 Maso	on City, II		27 23 25	28 27 26	1 4 1
T42-20	10	76 San	Jose, IL		22 23	27 24	
					24 23	2.4 2.4	0 1
T42-20	19	76 Masc	on City, IL		27 25	27 27	. S
					23	2.7	4
			X S		26.4 4.10	28.2 4.31	
	st for p	adred W	化光光 经收益 化二氯甲基二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲	₹ ,	1.06 D 1.8	1.11	
			1110-68			s _d = 1.66 s d = .43	

t.05 = 2.14 for 14 degrees of freedom t.01 = 2.98

NOTE: WHEN RELEASED: 72-3176 WAS DESIGNATED PL 72-3176L AND: 72-3299 WAS DESIGNATED PL723299L' MYS 2/5/80



EXHIBIT B ADVENDUM RYS 2/5/60

SOYBEAN RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC.

POST OFFICE BOX 72 . MASON CITY . ILL . 62664 . AC 217/482-3219

January 31, 1980

Dr. Robert J. Snyder
Examiner, Plant Variety
Protection Office
USDA - AMS
National Agricultural Library Bldg.
Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Dear Dr. Snyder:

Subject: Soybean Application No. 7900111, PL 72-3176L'

NOVEMBER Rfs 2/5/80

In my letter of December 23, we erroneously referred to PL723299L as PL 72-3299L. PL723299L was tested under experimental number 72-3299L but when we named the variety, we left out the dash.

In this letter I presented data to show that PL 72-3176L was earlier than PL723299L. This data came from five tests with three replications in each test. In three of these tests one of the three replications showed no difference in maturity. This is to be expected since in soybean breeding maturity date is taken to be that date at which 95% of the pods are brown (dry). In a program as large as ours, it is impossible to take maturity notes every day. The practice we follow is to take notes every five to seven days, it being pretty accurate to date 3-4 days in advance and to back-date 2-3 days. However, sometimes we may have two plots which although they are obviously different in maturity, we do not think they are dry enough to date but on the next trip through if we have had low humidity days, both plots may have dried down. In this case, they are both given the same maturity date. Of course different replications may differ depending upon soil type, drainage, location in field, lodging, stand, adjoining plots, etc. I would look with great suspicion on data that was uniform in all replications. These data far exceed the 99% level of confidence that the two lines do differgin maturity.

72-3176 and 72-3299 each were tested as single lines in our yield trials from 1973 to 1976. During this time there was no evidence of segregation for maturity within either line. It is virtually impossible to keep mixture out if seed is saved from yield trial so when it was decided to produce Breeders Seed of these two lines, single plant progeny rows were grown for each line so that any mixture which might have crept in could be

NOTE: WHEN RELEASED, 72-3176 WAS DESIGNATED PL 72-3176L'
AND 72-3299 WAS DESIGNATED PL723299'. BY'S 2/5/80

Dr. Robert J. Snyder - Page 2 - January 31, 1980

removed as a full row. When this was done, it became obvious that both lines were segregating for brown and tan pod wall color. The fact that there was no noticeable segregation for maturity before separating the line by pod wall color and that there was no between plant row difference in maturity when individual plant rows were grown is ample evidence that there was no maturity difference between tan and brown pod lines of the same line.

I hope that this letter satisfactorily explains our data.

sincerely yours. Mater

Arnold L. Matson,

Director of Soybean Breeding

ALM: bis

Asgrow Seed Company

79-111

July 22, 1982

Mr. Kenneth H. Evans, Acting Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office National Agricultural Library Building Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Song- 'PL 12-3176L'

Dear Mr. Evans:

This letter is a follow up of our recent telephone conversation.

Asgrow would like to obtain photocopies of selected PVP applications for those varieties for which protection is granted. We are interested in receiving applications for the following crops:

Garden Bean Watermelon Pepper Dry Bean Broccoli Lettuce Cabbage Cucumber Onion Carrot Eggplant Parsley Cauliflower Muskmelon Tomato Pea Squash: Soybean

We are interested in applications approved in 1980, 1981 and those granted thus far in 1982.

Exclude the following copies:

1. Do not send photocopies of any Asgrow applications.

Do not send a photocopy of the actual certificate.

3. Do not send photocopies of applications issued in 1981 for soybeans and dry beans. Your office has sent these already.

Could you please advise me the cost of the above information and I will send you a check.

For the future, we would be interested in receiving copies of applications for the above species. Again, exclude Asgrow applications and the actual certificate itself. Could you arrange to have this done routinely, perhaps each month or each quarter, and we would reimburse your office.

Very truly yours,

M. 3. 7.

John A. Batcha

NOTE: In regards to this request made by your Company, the following attached list of Issued Certificates have been photocopied for you. Upon receipt of your check for \$1,363 been photocopies will be mailed to your vour patience is the photocopies will be mailed to you. Your patience is one photocopies were be married to you. Your parrence is and appreciated in the delay, caused by the reduced PVP stable appreciated in the delay. Thank you. the magnitude of this order. Thank you.

Jan. 19,

FORM GR-470-2 (6-15-72)

INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE GRAIN DIVISION

EXHIBIT C (Soybean)

HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20782

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (GLYCINE MAX)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S) FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Soybean Research Foundation PVPO NUMBER 7900111 ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No.; City, State, and ZIP Code) 115 N. Perry St. VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION Mason City, Illinois 62664 PL 72-3176L Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this variety in the boxes below. 1. SEED SHAPE: 2 = SPHERICAL 1 # SPHERICAL 3 = ELONGATE 4 = OTHER (Specify) FLATTENED SEED COAT COLOR: SHADE 1 = YELLOW 2 = GREEN 3 = BROWN 4 = BLACK 1 = LIGHT 2 = MEDIUM 3 = DARK 5 = OTHER (Specify) SEED COAT LUSTER: 4. SEED SIZE 15 11/13/79 2 = SHINYGRAMS PER 100 SEEDS 5. HILUM COLOR: SHADE: 5 = IMPERFECT 1 2 1 = BUFF 2 = YELLOW 4 = GRAY BLACK 3 = DARK 1 = LIGHT 2 = MEDIUM6 = BLACK 7 = OTHER (Specify) 6. COTYLEDON COLOR: 7. LEAFLET SIZE (See Reverse): 1 = YELLOW 2 = GREEN 2 I = SMALL 2 = MEDIUM 3 = LARGE 8. LEAFLET SHAPE: 1 = OVATE 2 = OBLONG3 = LANCEOLATE 4 = ELLIPTICAL 1 5 = OTHER (Specify) LEAF COLOR (See reverse): 10. FLOWER COLOR: 1 = WHITE 2 = PURPLE 1 = LIGHT GREEN 2 = MEDIUM GREEN 2. 3 = DARK GREEN 3 = OTHER (Specify) 11. POD COLOR: 12: POD SET: 1 1 = TAN 2 = BROWN 1 = SCATTERED 2 = CONCENTRATED 3 # BLACK 13. PLANT PUBESCENCE COLOR: SHADE: 12.00 (7.00) I = GRAY 2 = BROWN 3 = OTHER (Specify) 1 = LIGHT 2 = MEDIUM 3 = DARK 14. PLANT TYPES (See Reverse): 15. PLANT HABIT: 1 = DETERMINATE 2 = INDETERMINATE l = slender 2 ≈ BUSHY 3 ≈ INTERMEDIATE 3 = OTHER (Specify)16. HYPOCOTYL COLOR: 17. SEED PROTEIN: Rf3 11/13/79 = GREEN 2 = PURPLE 2 1 = GREEN 2 ≃ в 18. NUMBER OF DAYS TO FLOWERING 19. MATURITY GROUP: (Place a zero in first box (e.g. 0 9) when 2 = 03 = 1 5 = 111 1 = 00days are 9 or less.) 7 = v 9 = VII 6 = IV 8 = VI 20. SIZE OF 10 DAY OLD SEEDLING GROWN UNDER CONSTANT LIGHT (Growth Chamber) AT 25° C. (Place a zero in first box (e.g. 0 2) when size is 9 mm. or less.) MM. LENGTH MM. WIDTH MM. LENGTH OF SEEDLING OF COTYLEDON OF-COTYLEDON- Action of the second 21. DISEASE: (Enter 0 =Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) BACTERIAL SOYBEAN DOWNY PURPLE POD AND 0 ROOT PUSTULE CYST MILDEW STAIN STEM BLIGHT " KNOT STEM FROGEYE PHYTO-0 BROWN BROWN TARGET CANKER **PHTHORA** STEM ROT SPOT SPOT BUD 0 RHIZOCTONIA WILDFIRE OTHER (Specify) BLIGHT

2. INDICATE WHICH VARIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT SUBMITTED.					
CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY		
Plant shape	Matsoy	Petiole angle	Matsoy		
Leaf shape	Matsoy	Seed size	SRF 200		
Leaf color	Matsoy	Seed shape	Matsoy		
Leaf surface	Matsoy	Seedling pigmentation	Matsoy		

	FOR SUBMITTED		

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS	LODGING	GING PLANT		NT LEAF SIZE		TENT	AVERAGE NO.	
VARIETY	TO MATURITY	SCORE	HEIGHT	Width	Length	Protein	Oil	OF PODS PER PLANT	IODINE NO.
PL 72-3176L	131	2.1	44	81	109	38.4	20.3%		
Name of similar variety Matsoy	133	2.4	46	74	106	38.5	21.9		

INSTRUCTIONS

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for completing this form:

- 1. Scott, Walter O. and Samuel R. Aldrich, 1970, Modern Soybean Production, The Farmer Quarterly.
- 2. Norman, A. G., 1963, The Soybean: Genetics, Breeding, Physiology, Nutrition, Management.
- 3. McKie, J. W., and K. L. Anderson, 1970, The Soybean Book.

LEAF COLOR: Nickerson's or any recognized color fan may be used to determine the leaf color of the described variety. The following Soybean varieties may be used as a guide to identify the colors listed on the form.

COLOR	VARIETY
Light Green	''Ada''
Medium Green	"Wilkin"
Dark Green	"Swift"

LEAF SIZE: The following varieties may be used as a guide to identify the relative size leaves

SIZE	•	VARIETY
Small		"Amsoy"
Medium		"Bonus"
Large	A,	''Anoka''
	the state of the s	

PLANT TYPE: The following varieties may be used as a guide to identify the plant type.

TYPE	VARIETY
Slender	"Vansoy"
Intermediate	"'Wirth'
Intermediate Bushy	"Adelphia"

